



European Securities and
Markets Authority

Questions and Answers

On MiFID II and MiFIR transparency topics





European Securities and
Markets Authority

Date: 03 October 2016
ESMA/2016/1424



Table of Contents

Table of questions	5
1 Introduction	6
2 The double volume cap mechanism [Last update: 03/10/2016]	8



Acronyms and definitions used

DVC	Double Volume Cap
ESMA	The European Markets and Securities Authority
ETF	Exchange Traded Fund
MiFID I	Markets in Financial Instruments Directive – Directive 2004/39/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council
MiFID II	Markets in Financial Instruments Directive (recast) – Directive 2014/65/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council
MiFIR	Markets in Financial Instruments Regulation – Regulation 600/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council
MTF	Multilateral Trading Facility
Q&A	Question and answer
RTS	Regulatory Technical Standards
RM	Regulated Market

Table of questions

	Topic of the Question	Level 1/Level 2 issue	Last Updated
Double volume cap	1 First calculations to be published on 3 January 2018 - shares admitted to trading on RM	Art. 5(4) of MiFIR	03/10/2016
	2 First calculations to be published on 3 January 2018 - MTF only shares, depositary receipts, certificates	Art. 5(4) of MiFIR	03/10/2016
	3 Application of the double volume mechanism to newly issued instruments	Art. 5(4) of MiFIR	03/10/2016
	4 Mid-month reports	Art. 5(6) of MiFIR	03/10/2016



1 Introduction

Background

The final legislative texts of Directive 2014/65/EU¹ (MiFID II) and Regulation (EU) No 600/2014² (MiFIR) were approved by the European Parliament on 15 April 2014 and by the European Council on 13 May 2014. The two texts were published in the Official Journal on 12 June 2014 and entered into force on the twentieth day following this publication – i.e. 2 July 2014.

Many of the obligations under MiFID II and MiFIR were further specified in the Commission Delegated Directive³ and two Commission Delegated Regulations^{4 5}, as well as regulatory and implementing technical standards developed by the European Securities and Markets Authority (ESMA).

MiFID II and MiFIR, together with the Commission delegated acts as well as regulatory and implementing technical standards will be applicable from 3 January 2018.

Purpose

The purpose of this document is to promote common supervisory approaches and practices in the application of MiFID II and MiFIR in relation to transparency topics. It provides responses to questions posed by the general public, market participants and competent authorities in relation to the practical application of MiFID II and MiFIR.

The content of this document is aimed at competent authorities and firms by providing clarity on the application of the MiFID II and MiFIR requirements.

The content of this document is not exhaustive and it does not constitute new policy.

Status

¹ Directive 2014/65/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 15 May 2014 on markets in financial instruments and amending Directive 2002/92/EC and Directive 2011/61/EU.

² Regulation (EU) No 600/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 15 May 2014 on markets in financial instruments and amending Regulation (EU) NO 648/2012.

³ Commission Delegated Directive of 7.4.2016 supplementing Directive 2014/65/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council with regard to safeguarding of financial instruments and funds belonging to clients, product governance obligations and the rules applicable to the provision or reception of fees, commissions or any monetary or non-monetary benefits. The Commission Delegated Directive was published on 7 April 2016 and no objection has been expressed by the European Parliament or the Council on the MiFID II Delegate Directive and Delegated Regulation within the period set in Article 89 of MiFID II.

⁴ Commission Delegated Regulation of 25.4.2016 supplementing Directive 2014/65/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council as regards organisational requirements and operating conditions for investment firms and defined terms for the purposes of that Directive. The Commission Delegated Regulation was published on 25 April 2016 and no objection has been expressed by the European Parliament or the Council on the MiFID II Delegate Directive and Delegated Regulation within the period set in Article 89 of MiFID II.

⁵ Commission Delegated Regulation of 18.5.2016 supplementing Regulation (EU) No 600/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council with regard to definitions, transparency, portfolio compression and supervisory measures on product intervention and positions. The Commission Delegated Regulation was published on 18 May 2016 and no objection has been expressed by the European Parliament or the Council on the MiFID II Delegate Directive and Delegated Regulation within the period set in Article 50 of MiFIR.



The question and answer (Q&A) mechanism is a practical convergence tool used to promote common supervisory approaches and practices under Article 29(2) of the ESMA Regulation⁶.

Due to the nature of Q&As, formal consultation on the draft answers is considered unnecessary. However, even if Q&As are not formally consulted on, ESMA may check them with representatives of ESMA's Securities and Markets Stakeholder Group, the relevant Standing Committees' Consultative Working Group or, where specific expertise is needed, with other external parties.

ESMA will periodically review these Q&As on a regular basis to update them where required and to identify if, in a certain area, there is a need to convert some of the material into ESMA Guidelines and recommendations. In such cases, the procedures foreseen under Article 16 of the ESMA Regulation will be followed.

Questions and answers

This document is intended to be continually edited and updated as and when new questions are received. The date on which each section was last amended is included for ease of reference.

⁶ Regulation (EU) No 1095/2010 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 24 November 2010 establishing a European Supervisory Authority (European Securities and Markets Authority), amending Decision No 716/2009/EC and repealing Commission Decision 2009/77/EC Regulation, 15.12.2010, L331/84.



2 The double volume cap mechanism [Last update: 03/10/2016]

Question 1 [Last update:03/10/2016]

What are the necessary adjustments to data on MiFID I waivers (shares traded only on regulated markets/shares traded on regulated markets and MTFs) in respect of the DVC? What is the volume traded under the waivers to be reported in the year before the application of MiFIR?

Answer 1

According to recital 11 of draft RTS 3⁷ trading venues should base their report on the adjusted volumes of trading executed under equivalent waivers granted under Directive 2004/39/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council and Commission Regulation (EC) No 1287/2006 (MiFID I).

In particular, Article 5 of MiFIR caps the trading executed under:

- i. systems matching orders based on a trading methodology by which the price is determined in accordance with a reference price; and
- ii. negotiated transactions in liquid instruments carried out under limb (i) of Article 4(1)(b) of MiFIR.

With regard to the reference price waiver, the requirement under MiFID I that the reference price must be widely published and regarded as reliable has been maintained under MiFIR. The only difference is that such elements are codified as an implementing measure under MiFID I (in Article 18(1)(a) of MiFID I implementing regulation⁸) whereas they are part of the Level 1 text of MiFIR.

Furthermore, compared to MiFID I, MiFIR narrows down the set of eligible prices that can be used by those reference price systems in two different ways.

First, any reference price can only be either:

⁷ Please note that, for ease of reference, RTS have been numbered in this document in accordance with the numbering used in the package sent by ESMA to the Commission in September 2015 (ESMA/2015/1464). Readers are nevertheless invited to consult the Commission and European Parliament websites for more updated versions of those RTS.

⁸ Commission Regulation (EC) No 1287/2006 of 10 August 2006 implementing Directive 2004/39/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council as regards recordkeeping obligations for investment firms, transaction reporting, market transparency, admission of financial instruments to trading, and defined terms for the purposes of that Directive.



- i. the midpoint within the current bid and offer prices of the most relevant market in terms of liquidity or the market where the financial instrument in question was first admitted to trading; or
- ii. the opening or closing price of the relevant trading session if the trading occurs outside the continuous trading phase.

Second, any reference price can only be derived from the most relevant market in terms of liquidity or the market of first admission of the financial instrument.

Taking note of those differences ESMA considers that for properly implementing the double volume cap from 3 January 2018 all transactions executed in 2017 in accordance with reference price waivers granted under MiFID I should be included in the numerator for the purposes of the double volume cap calculations as per Article 5 of MiFIR.

With regard to the negotiated transactions waivers, in comparison to MiFID I, negotiated transactions are subject to some restrictions on admissible execution prices depending on the type of the transaction and the trading characteristics of the financial instrument being traded. In particular:

- i. Negotiated transactions which are subject to conditions other than the current market price can be executed at any price in accordance with the rules of the trading venue.
- ii. Negotiated transactions which are subject to the current market price must instead comply with price conditions as specified below:
 - a. for liquid financial instruments negotiated transactions must be executed within the spread - negotiated transactions falling under this limb are subject to the double volume cap (DVC) mechanism.
 - b. for illiquid financial instruments negotiated transactions can be executed at any price falling within a certain percentage of a suitable reference price provided both the reference price and the percentage are set in advance by the system operator.

With respect to the negotiated transactions trading venues are required to properly identify, to the extent possible, transactions under the negotiated transaction waiver volume comparable to point (a) above which are the only negotiated transactions covered by the DVC mechanism. Therefore, ESMA considers that all transactions executed under the MiFID I negotiated trade waivers in liquid shares should count towards the double volume cap and should be reported by trading venues for the purpose of the double volume cap calculations. However, the calculation should exclude negotiated transactions in liquid shares subject to conditions other than the current market price executed in accordance with Article 18(b)(ii) of MiFID I implementing regulation.

Transactions executed on the basis of two orders benefitting from the large in scale waiver should not count towards the volumes calculated under the reference price and the negotiated trade waiver.



Question 2 [Last update:03/10/2016]

How would the double volume cap be applied from January 2018 in relation to financial instruments (shares traded only on MTFs, depositary receipts, ETFs, certificates) which currently do not operate under any waiver?

Answer 2

Article 5(4) of MiFIR requires ESMA to publish the total volume of Union trading per financial instrument and the percentage of trading in a financial instrument carried out under the reference price waiver and for negotiated transactions under Article 4(1)(b)(i) in the previous 12 months.

Concerning the total volume of Union trading per financial instrument, ESMA will publish the volume traded on all EU venues over the last 12 months.

Concerning the percentage of trading in a financial instrument carried out under the reference price waiver and the negotiated transactions waiver, two scenarios need to be distinguished:

- i. Prior to the date of application of MiFID II/MiFIR: The pre-trade transparency requirements of MiFID I, and therefore also the possibility to benefit from MiFID waivers, apply only to shares admitted to trading on regulated markets. While MiFID II/MiFIR extend the transparency regime to other equity-like instruments and to shares traded only on MTFs, these instruments until the date of application of MiFID II/MiFIR do not have any formally approved waivers. Therefore, the volume traded under MiFID waivers for those instruments not covered by the scope of the MiFID I pre-trade transparency regime (the numerator) will be zero for the monitoring period starting one year before the date of application of MiFID II/MiFIR.
- ii. After the date of application of MiFID II/MiFIR: With the application of MiFID II/MiFIR equity and equity-like instruments newly covered by the MiFIR transparency provisions can have formally approved waivers. For the purpose of performing the calculations for determining the percentage of trading in a financial instrument under the relevant waivers, ESMA will accumulate for the volume traded under any waivers on a venue/all EU venues (the numerator) the trading under the reference price and negotiated transactions waivers over the first 12 months. This means that at the end of the first month after the date of the application of MiFID II/MiFIR in 2018, the trading under the waivers will cover a period of one month. At the end of the second month after the date of application of MiFID II/MiFIR, the trading under the waivers will cover a period of two months, and so forth until a 12-month period is covered.

The applicable denominator (volume traded on all EU venues) will be based on the traded volumes of the previous 12 months at each point in time.



ESMA considers that this calculation method reflects the co-legislators' intention to at all points in time cover the actual volumes traded under MiFID approved waivers in the numerator and compare it to total trading in the denominator over the previous 12 months.

Question 3 [Last update:03/10/2016]

How will the DVC be applied to newly issued shares?

Answer 3

ESMA will publish the percentage of trading in a financial instrument carried out under the reference price waiver and the negotiated transactions waiver under Article 4(1)(b)(i) of MiFIR for shares newly admitted to trading or traded from the start of trading.

However, since according to Article 5(1) of MiFIR the double volume cap mechanism can only apply where the relevant thresholds are breached over the previous 12 months, the suspension of waivers when the thresholds are breached can only be triggered when at least 12 months of data for the volume of total trading and the percentage carried out under the waivers is available.

Question 4 [Last update:03/10/2016]

What are the implications of exceeding a relevant threshold in a mid-month report?

Answer 4

Pursuant to Article 5(4) of MiFIR ESMA shall publish within five working days of the end of each calendar month, the total volume of Union trading per financial instrument in the previous 12 months, the percentage of trading in a financial instrument carried out across the Union under the waivers and on each trading venue in the previous 12 months, and the methodology that is used to derive at those percentages.

In the event that the report referred to in Article 5(4) of MiFIR identifies any trading venue where trading in any financial instrument carried out under the waivers has exceeded 3,75 % of the total trading in the Union in that financial instrument or that overall Union trading in any financial instrument carried out under the waivers has exceeded 7,75 % based on the previous 12 months' trading, respectively, ESMA shall publish an additional report within five working days of the 15th day of the calendar month in which the report referred to in Article 5(4) of MiFIR is published. That report shall contain the information specified in Article 5(4) in respect of those financial instruments where 3,75 % has been exceeded or in respect of those financial instruments where 7,75 % has been exceeded, respectively (see Article 5(5) and (6) of MiFIR).



The question is what the consequences are if according to the aforementioned “mid-month reports” one or more of the respective thresholds (the 3,75%, the 7,75%, the 4% or the 8%) are exceeded.

Pursuant to Article 5(2) of MiFIR, the competent authority that authorised the use of the respective waivers shall within two working days suspend their use on that venue in that financial instrument based on the data published by ESMA referred to in Article 5(4) of MiFIR, for a period of six months when the percentage of trading in a financial instrument carried out on a trading venue under the waivers has exceeded the limit referred to in Article 5(1)(a) of MiFIR. When the percentage of trading in a financial instrument carried out on all trading venues across the Union under those waivers has exceeded the limit referred to in Article 5(1)(b) of MiFIR, all competent authorities shall within two working days suspend the use of those waivers across the Union for a period of six months.

On this basis the obligation to suspend trading derives from the thresholds as laid down in Article 5(1) of MiFIR. However, factually, suspension for a period of six months is ordered by the competent authority on the basis of the ESMA report pursuant to Article 5(4) of MiFIR, as explicitly stated in Article 5(2) and (3), respectively. As a trading suspension is ordered on the basis of the report pursuant to Article 5(4) and as the legal hook for a trading suspension does not cross-refer to the mid-months reports pursuant to Article 5(5) and (6), there is no direct legal consequence of these reports even if they were to state that trading has exceeded 4 % or 8 %, respectively.