

## **SEPA SCHEME MANAGEMENT INTERNAL RULES**

**(For 90 calendar day public consultation)**

Abstract	This document contains descriptions of the internal organisation, structure, rules, and processes that make up Scheme Management of the SEPA Credit Transfer and Direct Debit Schemes. Such processes cover Scheme maintenance, administration and compliance, and change management, including structured dialogue with stakeholders
Reason for Issue	To replace the existing SEPA Scheme Management Internal Rules (EPC207-14 v1.0) to enhance stakeholder involvement in the change management cycle of the EPC SEPA scheme rulebooks.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>0</b>	<b>DOCUMENT INFORMATION .....</b>	<b>4</b>
0.1	REFERENCES .....	4
0.2	PURPOSE OF DOCUMENT .....	4
<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>5</b>
1.1	THE EUROPEAN PAYMENTS COUNCIL ("EPC") .....	5
1.2	SEPA AND THE SEPA SCHEMES .....	6
1.3	SEPA SCHEME MANAGEMENT.....	7
1.4	FEES.....	8
<b>2</b>	<b>SCHEME MANAGEMENT ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES .....</b>	<b>9</b>
2.1	ROLE OF THE SCHEME MANAGEMENT BOARD .....	9
2.2	ROLE OF THE SCHEME PARTICIPANTS ASSEMBLY .....	9
<b>3</b>	<b>SCHEME MANAGEMENT BOARD .....</b>	<b>10</b>
3.1.1	<i>Composition of the SMB .....</i>	<i>10</i>
3.1.2	<i>Duration of Appointment .....</i>	<i>10</i>
3.1.3	<i>Termination of Appointment by decision of the EPC Board.....</i>	<i>10</i>
3.1.4	<i>Criteria for Membership (Scheme Participant representative member).....</i>	<i>11</i>
3.1.5	<i>Criteria for Membership (Independent Member).....</i>	<i>11</i>
3.1.6	<i>Criteria for Membership (Chair) .....</i>	<i>11</i>
3.1.7	<i>Duties of SMB Members .....</i>	<i>11</i>
3.1.8	<i>EPC Board Role in Policy of SMB.....</i>	<i>12</i>
3.1.9	<i>Meetings of the SMB .....</i>	<i>12</i>
3.1.10	<i>Quorum.....</i>	<i>13</i>
3.1.11	<i>Voting.....</i>	<i>13</i>
3.1.12	<i>Nominating Process .....</i>	<i>13</i>
3.1.13	<i>Role of the Secretariat.....</i>	<i>14</i>
3.1.14	<i>Information Service.....</i>	<i>14</i>
3.1.15	<i>Additional Optional Services ("AOS").....</i>	<i>14</i>
3.1.16	<i>Expenses.....</i>	<i>14</i>
3.1.17	<i>Record Keeping.....</i>	<i>14</i>
3.2	<b>DEFINITION OF ADMINISTRATION AND COMPLIANCE ROLES.....</b>	<b>15</b>
3.2.1	<i>Role of the Compliance and Adherence Committee .....</i>	<i>15</i>
3.2.2	<i>Role of the Appeals Committee .....</i>	<i>16</i>
3.2.3	<i>Rules for Adherence.....</i>	<i>17</i>
3.2.3.1	<i>Rules for Adherence by an Entity in a Group/Decentralised Structure.....</i>	<i>17</i>
3.2.3.2	<i>Rules for Signing the Adherence Agreement.....</i>	<i>17</i>
3.2.3.3	<i>National Adherence Support Organisation ("NASO").....</i>	<i>18</i>
3.2.3.4	<i>Becoming a Scheme Participant.....</i>	<i>18</i>
3.2.3.5	<i>Register of Scheme Participants.....</i>	<i>19</i>
3.2.3.6	<i>Unsuccessful Applications.....</i>	<i>19</i>
3.2.3.7	<i>Appeals.....</i>	<i>19</i>
3.3	<b>CONCILIATION UNDERTAKEN BY THE CAC .....</b>	<b>20</b>
3.3.1	<i>CAC Role in Conciliation .....</i>	<i>20</i>
3.3.2	<i>Application for Conciliation .....</i>	<i>20</i>
3.3.3	<i>Conciliation Proceedings.....</i>	<i>21</i>
3.3.4	<i>Conciliation Involving the EPC.....</i>	<i>21</i>
3.3.5	<i>Report of Conciliators.....</i>	<i>21</i>
3.3.6	<i>Costs.....</i>	<i>21</i>
3.3.7	<i>Further Steps - Arbitration v Litigation.....</i>	<i>22</i>
3.4	<b>COMPLAINTS SUBMITTED TO THE CAC.....</b>	<b>22</b>
3.4.1	<i>Role of CAC in Complaints.....</i>	<i>22</i>
3.4.2	<i>Key Principles.....</i>	<i>23</i>
3.4.3	<i>Investigation of Complaints .....</i>	<i>23</i>
3.4.4	<i>Evaluation of Complaint .....</i>	<i>24</i>

3.4.5	<i>Sanctions</i> .....	24
3.4.6	<i>Emergency Injunction Procedure</i> .....	27
3.4.7	<i>Appeals Arising from Complaints</i> .....	27
3.4.8	<i>Timing of Sanctions</i> .....	27
3.4.9	<i>Eligibility, Merger and Acquisition of a Scheme Participant</i> .....	27
3.4.10	<i>Costs</i> .....	28
3.5	<b>APPEALS</b> .....	28
3.5.1	<i>Introduction to the Appeals Process</i> .....	28
3.5.2	<i>Key Principles</i> .....	29
3.5.3	<i>Submission of Appeals Notice</i> .....	29
3.5.4	<i>Meeting</i> .....	30
3.5.5	<i>Costs</i> .....	30
3.5.6	<i>Further Steps</i> .....	31
<b>4</b>	<b>MAINTENANCE AND EVOLUTION</b> .....	<b>32</b>
4.1	<b>CHANGE MANAGEMENT PROCESS</b> .....	32
4.1.1	<i>Change Management - Guiding Principles</i> .....	32
4.1.2	<i>Change Management - Terminology</i> .....	32
4.1.3	<i>Role of SMB and Scheme Evolution and Maintenance Working Group</i> .....	33
4.1.4	<i>Submission of Change Requests to the Secretariat</i> .....	33
4.1.5	<i>Acknowledgement of Receipt of a Change Request</i> .....	34
4.1.6	<i>Consideration of a Change Request</i> .....	34
4.1.7	<i>Publication of Change Requests</i> .....	34
4.2	<b>PROCESS FOR MAJOR RULEBOOK CHANGES</b> .....	35
4.2.1	<i>Preparation of SEMWG Recommendation</i> .....	35
4.2.2	<i>Dialogue with the Initiator</i> .....	36
4.2.3	<i>Public Consultation on Change Request</i> .....	36
4.2.4	<i>Process following Public Consultation</i> .....	36
4.2.5	<i>SMB Deliberations on the SEMWG Change Proposal Submission Document and on the Positions from the EPC Stakeholder Fora</i> .....	37
4.2.6	<i>Publication</i> .....	37
4.2.7	<i>Change Release Process Cycle</i> .....	37
4.2.8	<i>Exceptional Change</i> .....	37
4.2.9	<i>Change for Regulatory Reasons</i> .....	38
4.3	<b>PROCESS FOR MINOR RULEBOOK CHANGES</b> .....	38
4.4	<b>EPC STAKEHOLDER FORA</b> .....	38
<b>5</b>	<b>APPENDIX 1 – IMPACT ANALYSIS</b> .....	<b>40</b>
5.1.1	<i>Impact Analysis ("IA") - Introduction</i> .....	40
5.1.2	<i>IA - Analytical Parameters</i> .....	40
5.1.3	<i>IA - Results</i> .....	40
<b>6</b>	<b>APPENDIX 2 - CONFLICTS OF INTEREST</b> .....	<b>41</b>
6.1	<b>RULES FOR MANAGING CONFLICTS OF INTEREST</b> .....	41
6.1.1	<i>General Principles</i> .....	41
6.1.2	<i>Record Keeping</i> .....	41
6.1.3	<i>Field of Application</i> .....	41
<b>7</b>	<b>APPENDIX 3 - SCHEME MANAGEMENT COST ALLOCATION</b> .....	<b>42</b>
7.1	<b>SCHEME PARTICIPATION FEE</b> .....	42
7.2	<b>MAIN COST TYPES IN A DISPUTE RESOLUTION PROCEDURE</b> .....	42
7.3	<b>RATIONALE FOR DISPUTE RESOLUTION COST RECOVERY MECHANISM</b> .....	42
7.4	<b>LEVEL OF THE NON-REFUNDABLE ADMINISTRATIVE FEE FOR DISPUTE RESOLUTION</b> .....	43
<b>8</b>	<b>TERMS DEFINED IN THE INTERNAL RULES</b> .....	<b>44</b>

## 0 DOCUMENT INFORMATION

### 0.1 References

This section lists documents referred to in this document. The convention used throughout is to provide the reference number only, in square brackets.

	Document Number	Title	Issued by:
[1]	PRES-EPC109-04-V2.1	Realisation of the Single Euro Payments Area – Roadmap 2004 – 2010	EPC
[2]	EPC125-05	SEPA Credit Transfer Scheme Rulebook	EPC
[3]	EPC016-06	SEPA Core Direct Debit Scheme Rulebook	EPC
[4]	EPC222-07	SEPA Business to Business Direct Debit Scheme Rulebook	EPC

### 0.2 Purpose of Document

This document sets out the internal rules ("**Internal Rules**") that govern SEPA Scheme Management. This document covers the following topics:

1. Rules for the administration and compliance functions of SEPA Scheme Management.
2. Rules for the maintenance, development and evolution function of SEPA Scheme Management.

## 1 INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 The European Payments Council ("EPC")

#### *EPC Objectives and Roles*

The purpose of the EPC, as one representative of the European Payment Service Providers' sector, is to support and promote European payments integration and development, notably the Single Euro Payments Area ("SEPA").

The mission of the EPC is to contribute to safe, reliable, efficient, economically balanced and sustainable, convenient payments supporting an integrated European economy, its end-user needs as well as its competitiveness and innovation goals:

- through the development and management of pan-European payment schemes and the formulation of positions and proposals on European payment issues;
- in constant dialogue with other Stakeholders and regulators at European level; and
- taking a strategic and holistic perspective.

The EPC offers one focal point and voice for the Payment Service Providers' sector on all European payment issues, driven by a single vision.

The EPC shall, among other things, be responsible for the performance of functions relating to Scheme Management, as set out in these Scheme Management Internal Rules. The EPC is the owner and manager of various payment Schemes.

The EPC is not a market infrastructure. The international non-profit association (in French: "association internationale sans but lucratif" / in Dutch: "internationale vereniging zonder winstoogmerk") named "Conseil Européen des Paiements" in French, abbreviated "CEP" and "European Payments Council" in English, abbreviated "EPC" (hereafter: "EPC") is governed by the provisions of Title III of the law of 27 June 1921 of the Kingdom of Belgium on non-profit associations, international non-profit associations and foundations.

#### *Organisation of the EPC*

This section sets out an overview of the organisational structure of the EPC, as described in detail in the EPC Charter.

The EPC Board has the powers necessary to accomplish the purpose of the EPC, except for the powers that are specifically granted to other bodies of the EPC by law or the Charter.

The EPC Board is supported by the following bodies in the exercise of its functions:

- the EPC Secretariat (the "**Secretariat**") performs administrative and secretarial functions in relation to the management of the SEPA Schemes as well as providing technical and co-ordination support to the working and support groups and to the Scheme Management Governance Bodies as required. The Secretariat is further responsible for managing an information service on SEPA Schemes.
- The Scheme Management Board ("**SMB**") is responsible, under the delegated authority granted by the EPC Board, for performing the functions of management and evolution of the SEPA Schemes.
- The Scheme Participants Assembly is composed of all Scheme Participants or their representatives, gathering via electronic means. It receives regular information from the Scheme Management Board about its activity. The Scheme Participants Assembly endorses the nomination of candidates for the Scheme Participant seats on the Scheme Management Board.

- The administration and compliance functions of Scheme Management are the responsibility of the Compliance and Adherence Committee (“CAC”).
- These Internal Rules set out the appeals process against decisions of the Compliance and Adherence Committee. The Scheme Management appeals function is entrusted to the Appeals Committee.
- The Scheme Management Board, the Compliance and Adherence Committee and the Appeals Committee are the Scheme Management Governance Bodies of the EPC. These Scheme Management Governance Bodies are bodies with decision-making power. This power may only be exercised in relation to the specific functions of Scheme Management for which such body is responsible pursuant to these Internal Rules.
- The Nominating and Governance Committee (“NGC”) is charged with making recommendations to the EPC General Assembly, the EPC Board and the SMB on potential candidates for positions in the various EPC bodies in accordance with the EPC Charter.
- The Certification Authority Supervisory Board (“CASB”) is responsible for governing the “EPC Approved Certification Authorities” (“CAs”) in support of the e-Mandates optional feature for SEPA Direct Debit. EPC will allow any established CA which has been approved by the EPC following the dedicated approval process for e-Mandate Service CAs (as specified in document EPC292-09) to provide certificates to the market. The CASB has been established in September 2010.

By derogation of the stipulations of the present Internal Rules, the initial composition of the aforementioned bodies will be decided upon by the EPC Plenary in December 2014.

## 1.2 SEPA and the SEPA Schemes

### *SEPA*

The Single Euro Payments Area (SEPA) is the area where citizens, companies and other economic participants can make and receive payments in euro, within Europe, whether within or across national boundaries under the same basic conditions, rights and obligations, regardless of their location. The aim of SEPA therefore is to create a single market for making payments, where cross border payments can be made on the same terms and conditions as national payments. SEPA is driven by the European Commission and the European Central Bank, amongst others, as a key component of the Internal Market. SEPA will create the conditions for enhanced competition in the provision of payment services. It will also generate, through harmonisation, more efficient payment systems and deliver tangible benefits for the economy and society as a whole. The common currency will be systemically strengthened by a harmonised set of euro payment instruments.

For the purposes of these Internal Rules, SEPA shall be deemed to encompass the countries and territories which are part of the jurisdictional scope of the SEPA Schemes, as listed in the EPC List of SEPA Scheme Countries, as amended from time to time.

### *SEPA Schemes*

An important step in the creation of SEPA is the development and implementation of SEPA Schemes for making credit transfer and direct debit payments (the “**Schemes**”) throughout SEPA.

To this effect, the EPC has produced the SEPA Credit Transfer Scheme Rulebook, the SEPA Core Direct Debit Scheme Rulebook and the SEPA Business to Business Direct Debit Scheme Rulebook (the “**Rulebooks**”) which set out binding rules and technical standards governing each of the Schemes. The Rulebooks only have legal effect between participants in the Schemes (“**Scheme Participants**”) and the EPC.

The SEPA Schemes are open to eligible payment service providers (PSPs) regardless of their status as “banks”, “payment institutions”, “electronic money institutions” or other eligible Scheme Participants.

The EPC is responsible for the implementation and operation of Scheme Management.

These Internal Rules set out the rules in accordance with which the Schemes are administered and enforced by the EPC, as well as detailing procedures for the evolution of existing Schemes.

### 1.3 SEPA Scheme Management

#### *Introduction*

SEPA Scheme Management comprises two functions. The first function involves the administration of the Schemes and the process of ensuring compliance with their rules, as set out in each of the respective Rulebooks, and the second function involves managing the maintenance and evolution of the Schemes entrusted by the EPC to the Scheme Management Board.

#### *Administration and Compliance*

The administration and compliance function of SEPA Scheme Management establishes rules and procedures for administering the adherence process for each of the Schemes, for addressing cases of claimed non-compliance by Scheme Participants with the rules of the Schemes and for addressing situations where Scheme Participants are unable to resolve their grievances through local or national dispute resolution methods.

The administration and compliance processes aim to ensure that the Schemes are administered fairly and transparently at every stage in accordance with the Rulebooks and general principles of applicable law.

The administration and compliance function shall be performed under the responsibility of the SMB, with some input from the EPC Board on matters relating principally to the policy of the Schemes. The SMB shall have wide decision-making power in respect of each of its functions however; it shall be accountable to the EPC Board. The CAC shall perform the administration and compliance function in accordance with the procedures set out in these Internal Rules.

#### *Maintenance and Evolution*

The maintenance and evolution function of SEPA Scheme Management establishes formal change management procedures for the Schemes. The change management procedures aim to ensure that the Schemes are kept relevant for their users and up-to-date, with structured processes for initiating and implementing changes to the Schemes, the Rulebooks and related documentation. An important component of change management is the inclusion of innovative ideas for enhancing the quality of existing Schemes.

The establishment of change proposals is to be carried out through clear, transparent and structured channels, which take into account the views of Scheme Participants, suppliers and end-users as well as other interested groups. That is to say, the maintenance and evolution function provides a structured and transparent means through which Scheme Participants, users and suppliers can participate in a dialogue with the EPC, so that proposals for change are openly considered by all relevant parties.

The maintenance and evolution function shall be performed by the SMB, supported by the Scheme Evolution and Maintenance Working Group (“SEMWG”), in accordance with the procedures set out in these Internal Rules.

#### 1.4 Fees

The EPC reserves the right to recover costs. The policy of the EPC with regard to fees related to the management of the Schemes will be decided from time to time by the EPC Board, upon recommendation of the SMB, as set out in more detail in Appendix 3 to the present Internal Rules.

## 2 SCHEME MANAGEMENT ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

### 2.1 Role of the Scheme Management Board

The SMB shall be responsible for performing the following functions of SEPA Scheme Management:

- Management of the maintenance and the evolution of the EPC Schemes (supported by the SEMWG)
- Interaction with the Scheme end-users and relevant stakeholders (Scheme End-User Forum)
- Interaction with Clearing and Settlement Mechanisms and other technical providers (Scheme Technical Forum)

(together, the “Scheme Management Functions”)

- Adherence
- Conciliation
- Complaints

(together, the “**Compliance Functions**”, delegated by the EPC Charter and these Internal Rules to the Compliance and Adherence Committee)

- Appeals

(the “**Appeals Function**”, delegated by the EPC Charter and these Internal Rules to the Appeals Committee)

The SMB will furthermore oversee the activities of the CASB (the Certification Authority Supervisory Board) which is responsible for governing the “EPC Approved Certification Authorities” in support of the e-Mandates optional feature for SEPA Direct Debit. EPC will allow any established CA which has been approved by the EPC following the dedicated approval process for e-Mandate Service CAs (as specified in document EPC292-09) to provide certificates to the market.

### 2.2 Role of the Scheme Participants Assembly

The Scheme Participants Assembly is composed of all EPC Scheme Participants (who can be represented) gathering via electronic means. The body is responsible for endorsing nominations of Scheme Participant representatives on the SMB approved by the EPC Board, and receives regular information from the SMB.

By derogation of the above paragraph, the initial composition of the SMB will be decided upon by the EPC Plenary in December 2014, without the endorsement of the Scheme Participants Assembly.

### **3 SCHEME MANAGEMENT BOARD**

#### **3.1.1 Composition of the SMB**

The SMB shall be composed of maximum 25 members, one of which shall be the Chair of the SMB. The SMB shall be required to have 3 Independent Members.

Up to 20 SMB members shall be representatives of Scheme Participants (nominated by an individual National Community of Scheme Participants or a “coalition” of National Communities of Scheme Participants), subject to reaching individually or on a consolidated basis 5% of the following composition criterion: the total volume of credit transfers and direct debits of all national communities included with the geographical scope of the Schemes (sources: ECB “Blue Book” for EU countries and national central banks for non-EU countries). At least 1 common seat shall be reserved for Payment Institutions and Electronic Money Institutions (even if they fail to reach the 5% threshold). A cap of 3 seats per National Community from the euro area and 1 seat per National Community from outside the euro area shall apply. National Communities of Scheme Participants or “coalitions” thereof may nominate one (and only one) alternate to the SMB member representing their National Community or Communities. An alternate to the SMB member representing a “coalition” of National Communities shall come from a different National Community of that coalition than the SMB member representing the coalition. Alternates shall be nominated in accordance with the principles set out in section 3.1.12 of these Internal Rules. An alternate may only attend an SMB meeting when the relevant SMB member is unable to attend such meeting.

The SMB Chair shall be an Independent Member. The SMB Chair shall be appointed by the EPC Board in accordance with the Nominating Process set out in section 3.1.6 of these Internal Rules.

#### **3.1.2 Duration of Appointment**

Each member shall hold office for a term of 2 years, with the possibility of re-election.

Each member who does not act as the Chair, may resign from the SMB by giving notice in writing to the SMB Chair and the EPC Chair, with copy to the EPC Director General via similar means, not less than 30 Calendar Days’ prior to leaving the SMB.

The SMB Chair may only resign from the SMB by giving notice in writing to the EPC Chair, with copy to the EPC Director General, not less than 60 Calendar Days’ prior to leaving the SMB.

#### **3.1.3 Termination of Appointment by decision of the EPC Board**

The EPC Board may decide to remove from office either an individual SMB member, a group of such members or the SMB as a whole.

This power may only be exercised if the EPC Board, after due and proper consideration, reasonably believes that either an individual SMB member, a group of such members or the SMB as a whole is performing the functions of the SMB in a manner evidencing serious misconduct, a dereliction of duty, bad faith, or gross negligence. The EPC Board may further exercise this power where, after due and proper consideration, the EPC Board reasonably believes that a member of the SMB does not have the capacity to perform the function of an SMB member.

Any SMB member removed from the SMB by decision of the EPC Board shall cease to be a member of the SMB with either immediate effect or on such a date as the EPC Board may specify taking into account the outstanding obligations of the SMB member to the SMB and to Scheme Management.

A member of the SMB removed in this manner shall be notified in writing of his or her removal from the office of SMB member.

If the mandate of a SMB member ceases before its term, for whatever reason, the EPC Board may appoint a new member for the remainder of the term, provided that the candidate member fulfils the criteria for the composition of the SMB of the replaced member.

### **3.1.4 Criteria for Membership (Scheme Participant representative member)**

A member of the SMB shall be chosen on the basis of his or her suitability and expertise for the position ahead of any other consideration. A prospective member of the SMB must therefore be of good repute, possess appropriate academic and vocational qualifications together with relevant work experience and a proven track record at a senior level in the payments services sector. In addition, members must be fluent in English, with – in particular – the capability to understand complex documents and the ability to express views during meetings.

Subject to the foregoing, the SMB shall aim to represent as far as reasonably practicable the composition of Scheme Participants, ensuring at all times that this composition fairly represents a balance of the country, size, and industry sectors of Scheme Participants and includes an appropriate representation of members from SEPA countries where the euro is the official currency.

A member of the SMB may not also act as a member of the EPC Board. If an EPC Board member wishes to be considered for the position of SMB member, he or she is obliged to cease acting as an EPC Board member before assuming the role of an SMB member.

The provisions of this section 3.1.4 shall equally apply to alternates to Scheme Participant representative members.

### **3.1.5 Criteria for Membership (Independent Member)**

An Independent Member is a member who can display the highest standard of professional integrity and objectivity in relation to Scheme Management. An Independent Member should be a professional of good repute, with appropriate skills, who has a reasonable knowledge of the payments services sector but who is not employed or is not otherwise affiliated with a Scheme Participant or its PSP communities, service providers or a payment services user group or user association. It is to be understood that an Independent Member cannot be allowed to work as a consultant/ contractor for a Scheme Participant or its PSP communities, service providers or a payment services user group or user association, during the course of his or her Independent Membership. A prospective Independent Member must possess appropriate academic and vocational qualifications for the position together with relevant work experience and a proven track record in a profession. In addition, members must be fluent in English, with – in particular – the capability to understand complex documents and the ability to express views during meetings. It is envisaged that an Independent Member shall provide expertise to the SMB as well as adding breadth to the knowledge base of the SMB membership.

After NGC consultation, the EPC Board shall have complete discretion in appointing an Independent Member in accordance with this section 3.1.5.

### **3.1.6 Criteria for Membership (Chair)**

The SMB Chair shall be an Independent Member chosen on the basis of his or her suitability and expertise for the position ahead of any other consideration. A prospective SMB Chair must therefore be of good repute, possess appropriate academic and vocational qualifications together with relevant work experience and expertise. In addition, the SMB Chair shall be fluent in English, with – in particular – the capability to understand complex documents and the ability to preside meetings in English.

The SMB Chair shall be required to demonstrate a proven track record of leadership in his or her professional field together with relevant management experience.

After NGC consultation, the EPC Board shall have complete discretion in choosing a Chair in accordance with these criteria.

### **3.1.7 Duties of SMB Members**

All SMB Members shall be required to act in accordance with the following general principles:

- each SMB member shall act in accordance with the provisions of these Internal Rules at all times for the duration of his or her term in office;
- each SMB member shall owe a duty to act in the best interests of the Schemes with a view to ensuring that the Schemes are administered efficiently, fairly and professionally;
- each SMB member shall observe the highest standards of integrity, fairness and professionalism at all times;
- as and when arising, each SMB member is obliged to disclose and manage any conflict of interest, as set out in further detail in Appendix 2;
- each SMB member agrees to act impartially in fulfilling the obligations of the SMB, notwithstanding his or her membership of a particular PSP community, industry sector or position of employment. As part of this duty, an SMB member must be mindful of and refuse any inducements, rewards, or other gifts offered to him or her in the performance of his or her duties, ensuring at all times that he or she acts and is seen to act in accordance with the highest standards of independence and impartiality. SMB members meeting the SMB composition criterion of 5% on a consolidated basis shall ensure that the relevant communities are kept up to date on any non-confidential SMB work items;
- each SMB member shall endeavour as far as reasonably practicable to carry out his or her duties in the SMB with reasonable skill, care and diligence; and
- each SMB member shall abide by the EPC Code of Conduct (EPC212-14).

The provisions of this section 3.1.7 shall equally apply to alternates to Scheme Participant representative members.

### **3.1.8 EPC Board Role in Policy of SMB**

The EPC Board may discuss matters of SMB policy to ensure that the SMB is acting within its scope and performing its role in a proper manner. The EPC Board may request the SMB to revisit a decision which, in the view of the EPC Board, exceeds the SMB mandate as provided for in the EPC Charter and the present Internal Rules or might jeopardise the reputation, the integrity, the proper functioning or the continuity of any of the Schemes. The EPC Board, in its sole discretion, may annul or amend the disputed decision, in the event that the SMB fails to properly justify or modify its decision.

The EPC Board shall be able to raise issues arising from the work of the SMB in order to discuss policy issues arising in respect of the Rulebooks.

The SMB shall report to the EPC Board and in particular raise issues relating to the substance or of the operation of the Schemes.

### **3.1.9 Meetings of the SMB**

The SMB shall meet on a regular basis and not less than 2 times every year. The SMB may convene more regularly if it is appropriate to do so in the exercise of its duties.

Meetings of the SMB will be held physically or by telephone, video or web conference if deemed necessary by the SMB Chair.

The SMB members shall receive from the Secretariat written notice of the date, time and place of a meeting no less than two (2) weeks before the date of the meeting. The agenda of a meeting and the material documents necessary for the discussion will be sent no less than two (2) weeks before the date of the meeting.

Members of the SMB are required to make every reasonable effort to attend a meeting convened in accordance with this section. Where a member is unable to attend, he or she must give reasonable notice to this effect to the Chair.

An SMB member who is unable to attend an SMB meeting may appoint a proxy from amongst the remaining SMB members to vote at the meeting on his or her behalf.

An SMB member wishing to appoint a proxy must give reasonable notice to the Chair in writing. A notice to appoint a proxy may be given either electronically or in paper format.

An SMB member may not hold a proxy for more than 2 other SMB members at any SMB meeting.

The Chair must make every reasonable effort to attend a meeting convened in accordance with this section. Where the Chair is unable to attend in a particular instance, he or she may appoint another independent SMB member in writing to carry out the functions of the Chair. In such cases, the Chair must notify other members of the SMB in writing of this temporary appointment.

Minutes of each meeting must be prepared and filed upon approval by the SMB members.

#### **3.1.10 Quorum**

The quorum for the meetings of the SMB is at least 2/3rds of the total membership of the SMB present either in person or by proxy. Where the quorum is not reached, a further meeting may be called within 30 Calendar Days of the date of the first meeting and this second meeting may properly convene and carry out SMB business, if more than 50% of SMB members are present either in person or by proxy and as long as the Chair is present.

#### **3.1.11 Voting**

Each member of the SMB shall be entitled to one vote.

In respect of all matters discussed by the SMB, resolutions may be passed with the approval of 2/3 of those present and voting on the resolution at a validly convened meeting of the SMB.

On a vote, a member of the SMB must disclose and manage any conflict of interest that exists or that might reasonably be expected to arise in accordance with Appendix 2.

#### **3.1.12 Nominating Process**

The nomination of candidates for the position of SMB member shall be carried out by the EPC Board. The NGC shall recommend suitable candidates for this position to the EPC Board in accordance with its role, as set out in Article 9.1 of the EPC Charter.

Subject always to the criteria set out in 3.1.4 – 3.1.6, the EPC Board shall endeavour to ensure that the composition of the SMB reflects a balanced composition of Scheme Participants, bringing together a fair representation of the country, size and industry sectors of Scheme Participants, including an appropriate representation of members from SEPA countries where the euro is the official currency.

The NGC shall provide a list of candidates for the position of SMB member to the EPC Board at least two weeks in advance of an EPC Board meeting. This list shall include a summary of the candidates' qualifications for the position. The NGC should only include details of suitable candidates on such a list.

The EPC Board shall approve suitable candidates, subject to endorsement of the list of Scheme Participant representative members by the Scheme Participants Assembly. The Scheme Participant representative members' list shall be deemed to be endorsed, unless more than 50% of the voting Scheme Participants has voted against it (voting quorum), and at least 50% of Scheme Participants have expressed their vote (participation quorum). In such case, the NGC shall provide a new list of candidates to the EPC Board, in accordance with the aforementioned procedure. In the interim, the existing SMB members' term shall be extended until a new list of candidates has been endorsed by the Scheme Participants Assembly and approved by the EPC Board.

The NGC may not recommend and the EPC Board may not appoint a candidate to the position of SMB member, or propose his or her name to the EPC Board, if the candidate is in a situation of judicial administration, or bankruptcy, judicial reorganisation, dissolution or liquidation, or is subject to insolvency proceedings of a similar nature under the laws of any jurisdiction.

The NGC may not recommend and the EPC Board may not appoint a candidate to the position of SMB member, or propose his or her name to the EPC Board, if there are reasonable grounds to believe that such a candidate is a person of ill-repute who may bring the SMB and the Schemes into disrepute.

### **3.1.13 Role of the Secretariat**

The Secretariat shall provide secretarial and administrative support to the SMB.

The Secretariat shall be responsible for referring issues arising in respect of Scheme Management to the SMB, as necessary.

### **3.1.14 Information Service**

The Secretariat shall be responsible for administering an information service on SEPA Schemes. The information service shall be open to everyone. Requests for information to the information service shall be in written format only, either by letter, fax or email.

The information service shall endeavour to respond to requests for information within 30 Business Days from the date of receiving the request for information.

### **3.1.15 Additional Optional Services ("AOS")**

The following principles will apply to AOS:

1. All AOS must not compromise interoperability of the Schemes nor create barriers to competition. The SMB should deal with any complaints or issues concerning these requirements brought to its attention in relation to compliance with the Rulebooks as part of its normal procedures.
2. AOS are part of the market space and should be established and evolve based on market needs. Based on these market needs, the EPC may incorporate commonly used AOS features into the Schemes through the SEPA Schemes change management processes.
3. There should be transparency in relation to community AOS. In particular, details of community AOS relating to the use of data elements present in the ISO 20022 message standards (including any community usage rules for the SEPA core mandatory subset) should be disclosed on a publicly available website (in both local language(s) and English).

The SMB may receive complaints from Scheme Participants in relation to the operation of community AOS in respect of the above principles. The SMB will strive to resolve the issue in an amicable way. If no solution can be found, the SMB may refer the complaint to the CAC, which will deal with it in an appropriate way, in accordance with these Internal Rules.

### **3.1.16 Expenses**

Independent Member(s) of the SMB shall be entitled to claim reasonable expenses. The SMB Independent Member(s) shall also be able to claim an annual representation allowance. Amounts payable may be subject to Belgian tax law, including but not limited to Belgian withholding tax, as applicable; the EPC cannot be held responsible for the fulfilment of any tax obligations of the Independent Member(s).

### 3.1.17 Record Keeping

The Secretariat shall keep a record of all agendas and minutes of meetings of the SMB. The Secretariat shall use reasonable efforts to keep records relating to appeals separately from those relating to other compliance aspects of Scheme Management. Records may be held in either paper or electronic format. The SMB shall in its absolute discretion decide whether these minutes and related documentation may be made publicly available on the EPC Website or on the internal extranet of the EPC.

## 3.2 Definition of Administration and Compliance Roles

### 3.2.1 Role of the Compliance and Adherence Committee

The Compliance and Adherence Committee (CAC) is responsible for performing the administration and compliance functions of SEPA Scheme Management. The role of the CAC is limited to the following:

- Adherence – the CAC shall be responsible for overseeing the adherence process for becoming a Participant in the Schemes;
- Conciliation – the CAC shall be responsible for establishing and administering a conciliation process for Scheme Participants who are unable to resolve grievances relating to the Schemes through local dispute resolution methods; and
- Complaints – the CAC shall be responsible for investigating complaints made against Scheme Participants for alleged breaches of the Rulebooks, evaluating such complaints and determining appropriate sanctions against Scheme Participants who are found to be in breach.

The CAC shall regularly update the SMB on its activities.

#### 3.2.1.1 Composition of the Compliance and Adherence Committee

The CAC will be composed of six members, at least two of which will be independent members, and up to four members will be Scheme Participant representative members, appointed by the SMB in accordance with the same provisions as SMB members under sections 3.1.4 (1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraphs) and 3.1.5 of these Internal Rules. A member of the SMB may not also act as a member of the CAC.

The members of the CAC are elected for a three-year term that may be renewed for identical terms.

The initial CAC composition and subsequent renewals will be initiated by the NGC, with a call for candidates published through the EPC Secretariat. The SMB will approve the final composition. On an annual basis, two CAC members shall be appointed by the SMB. As such, one third of the total number of CAC members will be appointed each year, allowing a three year rotating policy. To this end, for the initial period, two independent members will be elected for a three-year term, whereas two Scheme Participant representative members will be elected for a two-year term and two other Scheme Participant representative members will be elected for a one-year term.

After NGC consultation, the CAC Chair will be elected among the independent members of the CAC by the SMB.

If the mandate of a CAC member ceases before its term, for whatever reason, the SMB may appoint a new member for the remainder of the term, provided that the candidate member fulfils the criteria for the composition of the CAC of the replaced member.

The duties of and criteria for SMB members set out in sections 3.1.4, 3.1.5, 3.1.6 and 3.1.7 of these Internal Rules shall apply *mutatis mutandis* to the members of the CAC.

Independent Member(s) of the CAC shall be entitled to claim reasonable expenses and an annual representation allowance. The Independent Member(s) shall also be able to claim a daily stipend for each full day spent on working on CAC related matters. The level of the stipend paid to the CAC Independent Member(s) shall depend on the work undertaken and the time spent on carrying out such work. Amounts payable may be subject to Belgian tax law, including but not limited to Belgian withholding tax, as applicable; the EPC cannot be held responsible for the fulfilment of any tax obligations of the Independent Member(s).

### **3.2.1.2 Meetings of the Compliance and Adherence Committee**

The CAC will meet physically or by telephone conference, according to the demands of its work programme, with a minimum of two meetings per year.

Calls for meetings and agendas will be issued at least two weeks in advance and meeting papers will be provided at least one week in advance, unless otherwise determined by the CAC Chair in exceptional circumstances.

The CAC will develop its conclusions and decisions on the basis of broad consensus. In circumstances where such consensus is not achievable, and the matter is appropriate for the conduct of a vote, a vote may be taken. Any member of the CAC shall be entitled to vote at a meeting of the CAC. Each member has one (1) vote. Any decision taken by vote shall be validly adopted if it obtains a qualified majority of two thirds (2/3) of the votes cast by the members present or represented (i.e. voting quorum). Blank votes, invalid votes and abstentions do not count. No decision may be passed if more than half of the members present or represented abstains. In the event of a serious divergence of views, reference may be made to the SMB for advice and guidance.

### **3.2.2 Role of the Appeals Committee**

The Appeals Committee shall be responsible for hearing appeals brought in respect of decisions taken by the CAC in accordance with a fair process that is separate from the process of decision-making at first instance.

The Appeals Committee shall regularly update the SMB on its activities.

#### **3.2.2.1 Composition of the Appeals Committee**

The Appeals Committee will be composed of three members, at least two of which will be independent members, whereas maximum one member will be a Scheme Participant representative member, appointed by the SMB in accordance with the same provisions as SMB members under sections 3.1.4 (1<sup>st</sup> paragraph) and 3.1.5 of these Internal Rules. A member of the SMB or the CAC may not also act as a member of the Appeals Committee.

The members of the Appeals Committee are elected for a three-year term that may be renewed for identical terms. The initial Appeals Committee composition and subsequent renewals will be initiated by the NGC, with a call for candidates published through the EPC Secretariat. Upon NGC consultation, the SMB will approve the final composition.

After NGC consultation, the Appeals Committee Chair will be elected among the independent members of the Appeals Committee by the SMB.

If the mandate of an Appeals Committee member ceases before its term, for whatever reason, the SMB may appoint a new member for the remainder of the term, provided that the candidate member fulfils the criteria for the composition of the Appeals Committee of the replaced member.

The duties of and criteria for SMB members set out in sections 3.1.4, 3.1.5, 3.1.6 and 3.1.7 of these Internal Rules shall apply *mutatis mutandis* to the members of the Appeals Committee.

Independent Member(s) of the Appeals Committee shall be entitled to claim reasonable expenses and an annual representation allowance. The Independent Member(s) shall also be able to claim a daily stipend for each full day spent on working on Appeals Committee related matters. The level of the stipend paid to the Appeals Committee Independent Member(s) shall depend on the work undertaken and the time spent on carrying out such work. Amounts payable may be subject to Belgian tax law, including but not limited to Belgian withholding tax, as applicable; the EPC cannot be held responsible for the fulfilment of any tax obligations of the Independent Member(s).

### **3.2.2.2 Meetings of the Appeals Committee**

The Appeals Committee will meet physically or by telephone conference, according to the demands of its work programme, with a minimum of one meeting per year.

Calls for meetings and agendas will be issued at least two weeks in advance and meeting papers will be provided at least one week in advance, unless otherwise determined by the Appeals Committee Chair in exceptional circumstances.

The Appeals Committee will develop its conclusions and decisions on the basis of consensus. In circumstances where such consensus is not achievable, and the matter is appropriate for the conduct of a vote, a vote may be taken. Any member of the Appeals Committee shall be entitled to vote at a meeting of the Appeals Committee. Each member has one (1) vote. Any decision taken by vote shall be validly adopted if it obtains two (2) votes in favour.

### **3.2.3 Rules for Adherence**

#### *Eligibility for Participation in Schemes*

In order to be eligible to participate in the Schemes, each applicant must satisfy the eligibility criteria set out in Chapter 5.4 of the Rulebooks.

The CAC shall accept any applicant that fulfils the criteria set out in Chapter 5.4 of the Rulebooks and will only reject applications on the basis of failure to meet these criteria.

#### **3.2.3.1 Rules for Adherence by an Entity in a Group/Decentralised Structure**

Each legal entity that seeks to adhere to a Scheme must agree to accept the rights and obligations of a Scheme Participant in relation to the relevant Scheme (SEPA Credit Transfer Scheme and / or SEPA Core Direct Debit Scheme and / or SEPA Business to Business Direct Debit Scheme). Upon admission to a Scheme, the adhering legal entity shall assume all of the rights and responsibilities arising from admission to a Scheme.

A subsidiary entity or affiliate of an adhering entity, i.e. each entity that has a separate and distinct legal personality within the adhering entity's group or organisational structure, must adhere separately from a parent or group entity. A subsidiary or affiliate shall be a Scheme Participant in its own right and shall assume all the rights and responsibilities arising from admission to a Scheme.

A branch of an adhering entity, i.e. an entity that does not have separate legal personality, whether located in the jurisdiction of the adhering entity or in another SEPA jurisdiction, shall be deemed to be legally part of the adhering entity and able to carry out SEPA transactions in accordance with the Rulebooks.

### 3.2.3.2 Rules for Signing the Adherence Agreement

An entity may sign the Adherence Agreement on its own behalf. Alternatively, an entity may give legal authority to an agent to sign the Adherence Agreement on its behalf (for example, an agent could be a parent company, another adhering entity or PSP association). An entity that appoints an agent to sign the Adherence Agreement on its behalf must ensure that the agent is given the necessary legal authority to sign. An agent must demonstrate that it possesses the legal authority to bind an adhering entity in accordance with the local law of the entities involved. An agent signing the Adherence Agreement on behalf of other entities must demonstrate by way of legal opinion of external or internal legal counsel in a form specified by the EPC that it possesses the requisite legal authority to bind such entities.

This provision permits members of a PSP community to adhere to a Scheme at the same time by nominating an agent to complete the Adherence Agreement in respect of each member. Similarly, a parent company may sign an Adherence Agreement in respect of some or all of its subsidiaries and an entity in a group or de-centralised structure may sign an Adherence Agreement in respect of each of the other entities in the group or de-centralised structure. In each case, an entity signing the Adherence Agreement that acts as an agent on behalf of another must show that it possesses the legal authority to do so.

### 3.2.3.3 National Adherence Support Organisation ("NASO")

The EPC has, in conjunction with a national PSP community, identified one or more NASOs in respect of each SEPA community. A NASO is responsible for providing basic guidance on the adherence process and on adherence applications through a helpdesk, for liaising with the Secretariat in respect of an application (as required) and for such other tasks as the EPC or any organ of the EPC may request it to perform from time to time. A NASO also carries out a basic preliminary review of an adherence application, if requested to do so. The EPC publishes a list of NASOs on the EPC Website. A NASO could be a national PSP association(s) or a regulatory body, which has agreed to conduct the task on behalf of the national community.

Except as otherwise indicated in this section, an adhering entity must consult a NASO on its adherence application.

Only multi-country entities that are signing in their own right or as agent on behalf of four or more of their subsidiaries located in four different SEPA jurisdictions or arranging the completion of the adherence application by such subsidiaries may submit an adherence application directly to the EPC without first consulting a NASO. Such entities are nevertheless free to consult a NASO before submitting their application to the EPC, should they wish to do so. In such cases, where an entity wishes to consult a NASO, it may use the NASO of any of the adhering entities on whose behalf it is signing the adherence application.

### 3.2.3.4 Becoming a Scheme Participant

An application to become a Scheme Participant in one or more Schemes shall be made using the form of Adherence Agreement set out in the official Adherence Guide an example of which is in Annex 1 of each of the Rulebooks.

An application shall be accompanied by a legal opinion in the form specified by the EPC provided by either internal or external counsel on the capacity and authority of the applicant to become a Scheme Participant in one or more the Schemes.

The application for adherence shall be finally submitted to the EPC Secretariat. Except as otherwise indicated in section 3.2.3 of these Internal Rules, before submitting the application, an applicant must consult with the relevant NASO for preliminary guidance on eligibility and documentation involved in the adherence process.

The Secretariat uses reasonable efforts to send a written acknowledgement of receipt of the application to the applicant within 10 Business Days of receiving the application.

The Secretariat shall use reasonable efforts to determine the application within 60 Calendar Days of receiving the application. In the event that the Secretariat requires more time to arrive at a determination, it shall notify the applicant as soon as it is reasonably practicable to do so.

The Secretariat may request the applicant to provide such additional information as may be required in the course of determining the application.

In the course of determining the application, the Secretariat may take into consideration views expressed by national regulators (this term extends to include such bodies as insolvency officers, law enforcement authorities and local courts).

In the case of a successful application, the applicant or its agent will receive a written notification of admission to a Scheme. The applicant becomes a Scheme Participant and becomes subject to the Rulebooks on one of the Admission Dates agreed by the CAC and published on the EPC Website or, where requested by the applicant and agreed by the Secretariat, on a deferred Admission Date specified by the applicant in advance to the Secretariat. The Secretariat may send the written notification to the applicant in paper or electronic format.

The Secretariat will make a recommendation to the CAC when an applicant for participation in one or both of the Schemes or an existing Scheme Participant fails to satisfy the eligibility criteria set out in chapter 5.4 of the Rulebooks. In such case, the CAC may decide to request the applicant or existing Scheme Participant to provide additional information, or to reject the application or terminate participation in accordance with section 3.2.3.6 of these Internal Rules.

The Secretariat will, on a regular basis, and at least four times per year, report in writing on the adherence applications received and accepted to the CAC. It will also report or seek guidance and advice on any particular issue encountered when performing its activities.

### **3.2.3.5 Register of Scheme Participants**

The Secretariat shall maintain a separate register of Scheme Participants for each of the Schemes. The register shall contain the name, contact address and other details determined by the EPC in respect of the Scheme Participant.

The registers shall be updated by the Secretariat regularly as specified in the relevant schedule published on the EPC Website.

If the Scheme Participant changes its details, so that the register does not carry accurate data in respect of the Scheme Participant, the Scheme Participant shall notify the Secretariat as soon as it is reasonably practicable to do so. It is the responsibility of the Scheme Participant to ensure that the Secretariat is provided with information in relation to the Scheme Participant that is accurate and up-to-date at all times.

In the event of Scheme Participants no longer being able to pay their debts as they fall due, becoming insolvent or having ceased to exist (each an Event of Default), the Secretariat may decide to rectify the register of Scheme Participants after verification of such event with the relevant national regulator or national authority. The failure of a Scheme Participant to pay the fees mentioned in section 1.4 of these Internal Rules shall constitute an Event of Default for the purposes of this section 3.2.3.5, on the basis of which the EPC may, at its sole discretion and upon notice by registered mail, temporarily or permanently suspend the entry of the Scheme Participant in the register(s) of the relevant Scheme(s), as of the first following register update publication, but not earlier than 30 calendar days after the issuance of such notice of suspension.

The register may be accessed and searched through a website of the EPC, available to all users. The register is not an operational database in respect of Scheme usage. Any operational data needed by Scheme Participants in relation to other Scheme Participants shall be supplied outside of the Schemes.

### 3.2.3.6 Unsuccessful Applications

The CAC may reject an application for participation in one or both of the Schemes if an applicant fails to satisfy the eligibility criteria set out in chapter 5.4 of the Rulebooks.

Where an application is rejected, the CAC shall provide the applicant with a letter setting out the reasons for rejecting the application.

An applicant may not re-apply to become a Scheme Participant until 3 months after the determination of its application by the CAC or after a determination in an appeal begun in accordance with these Internal Rules or after a final determination of a tribunal or court responsible for determining the case.

### 3.2.3.7 Appeals

An applicant whose application for participation in one or more of the Schemes has been rejected may appeal to the Appeals Committee for a re-consideration of its application. A notice of appeal in such cases must be filed within 21 Calendar Days of the applicant receiving a notification of rejection of its adherence application. The appeals notice must include a copy of the adherence application together with a letter supplied to the applicant under section 3.2.3.6 and any other information required by section 3.5.3 of these Internal Rules. The appeal shall be determined in accordance with section 3.5 of these Internal Rules.

## 3.3 Conciliation Undertaken by the CAC

### 3.3.1 CAC Role in Conciliation

The CAC shall provide a voluntary conciliation service to Scheme Participants and to the EPC. Conciliation may be used for resolving Unresolved Issues that arise in respect of the Rulebooks only.

Issues concerning CAC determinations on adherence applications or on complaints must be addressed through the appeals process rather than through conciliation.

Conciliation services shall be available with regard to the following:

- Unresolved Issues arising out of the Rulebooks between Scheme Participants;
- Unresolved Issues arising out of the Rulebooks between a Scheme Participant and the EPC.

Conciliation services shall only be available to a Scheme Participant where the Scheme Participant can demonstrate that it has used reasonable endeavours to resolve the matter amicably, after dialogue with PSP communities and by using conciliation or other dispute resolution processes at a local level. SEPA PSP communities are expected to make a body available to Scheme Participants for this purpose.

Conciliation services shall be administered in a manner that is efficient and cost-effective, with a view to ensuring a rapid conclusion to the Unresolved Issue.

The CAC shall appoint one or more conciliators either from the body of relevant CAC members to hear the Unresolved Issue on a case-by-case basis and/or, as appropriate, appoint experienced individuals from outside the CAC and EPC to adjudicate on Unresolved Issues. The conciliators shall make a recommendation to the parties involved. This recommendation shall not be binding upon them and will be without prejudice to further proceedings between the parties.

As set out in further detail in Appendix 2, conciliators must be mindful of any conflict of interest arising in relation to the subject matter of the conciliation or to any of the parties to the conciliation. In the event that a conciliator is aware that a conflict of interest exists, he or she shall make this known to the CAC immediately and the CAC can appoint another conciliator(s) from the relevant members of the CAC to carry out the conciliation. If the CAC is unable to find a conciliator(s) from the CAC to act in respect of the Unresolved Issue, the Chair may appoint a conciliator(s) from outside of the CAC and the EPC, provided always that the parties to the Unresolved Issue agree to this appointment.

In cases where the conciliation is between a Scheme Participant and the EPC, the CAC shall ensure that conciliators from outside the CAC and the EPC are appointed, provided that both the EPC and the Scheme Participant agree to this appointment.

### **3.3.2 Application for Conciliation**

An application for conciliation shall be made in writing and filed with the Secretariat. The application shall clearly state the name of the other party involved together with details of the Unresolved Issue. The application shall also be accompanied with a written statement of consent from the other party stating that it wishes to submit to conciliation.

The Scheme Participant shall give a copy of the application and accompanying information to the other party involved in the Unresolved Issue.

Within 15 Business Days starting from the date that the application was filed, the Secretariat shall request the other party to file with the Secretariat any statement of facts in relation to the Unresolved Issue.

The other party may withdraw from the conciliation at any time. If the other party withdraws in this manner, the conciliation proceedings shall be terminated with immediate effect and the conciliator shall not deliver a recommendation. The costs provisions set out in section 3.3.6 of these Internal Rules continue to apply.

### **3.3.3 Conciliation Proceedings**

The conciliator shall aim to resolve the Unresolved Issue between the parties in a manner that is fair, open and amicable.

Unless otherwise agreed, conciliation proceedings shall be in private.

The conciliator shall consider all the evidence put before the conciliator and allow both parties to provide clarification and elaboration on the points raised in the Unresolved Issue.

The conciliator shall then recommend a proposed settlement to the Unresolved Issue.

If a settlement is reached, the settlement shall be written down by the conciliator and signed by the parties. The parties may keep a copy of the settlement.

If the parties cannot reach settlement, the conciliator shall close the conciliation proceedings. The parties may take such procedures as they consider appropriate and may take the matter to arbitration or litigation in accordance with section 3.3.7.

### **3.3.4 Conciliation Involving the EPC**

Where conciliation involves the EPC, the conciliators shall always be individuals who are not connected to either the EPC or to the Scheme Participant in any way. The costs of engaging conciliators in such cases shall be determined in accordance with section 3.3.6. In all other respects, the conciliation proceedings shall follow the procedure set out in this section.

### **3.3.5 Report of Conciliators**

Following the conclusion of conciliation proceedings, whether by way of settlement or voluntary termination by parties to the conciliation, the conciliators may prepare a report on the conciliation for the CAC. The report may contain such details relating to the conciliation proceedings as the conciliators wish to include. The report shall be confidential and may only be made available to relevant members of the CAC.

Where the conciliators become aware of serious misconduct by the Scheme Participant such as behaviour evidencing fraud or other such serious violations of the law, they may bring this to the attention of the relevant national regulator or national authority.

### 3.3.6 Costs

An upfront, non-refundable administrative fee outlined in Appendix 3 of these Internal Rules on the Scheme Management cost recovery mechanism will be payable to the EPC prior to the initiation of the proceeding, by the Scheme Participant who wishes to initiate the proceeding, to cover basic administrative costs. This fee will be recoverable from the losing party, as appropriate.

The EPC will ensure that any fee set under this section is quantified so as to be consistent with the costs incurred by the EPC and the EPC's status as a non-profit organisation under Belgian law.

The upfront, non-refundable administrative fee shall be equally split between the two parties where they are both jointly seeking conciliation.

In addition, any relevant non-administrative EPC costs incurred during the course of the proceedings shall be recovered from the losing party, or divided between the parties based on the principles established by the Rules of Arbitration of the International Chamber of Commerce.

Where the conciliation is terminated before either a settlement is reached or before the conciliators close the conciliation, the upfront, non-refundable administrative fee payable to the EPC and the EPC's costs incurred to handle the conciliation up to that point in time will be recovered from the party requesting the termination of the conciliation process.

### 3.3.7 Further Steps - Arbitration v Litigation

Following consultation with the CAC, if the parties are unable to settle an Unresolved Issue through conciliation, or where such a conciliation process has not taken place, if a Scheme Participant gives another Scheme Participant notice that an Unresolved Issue exists and if the Unresolved Issue has not been resolved within 30 Calendar Days of service of the notice, the Unresolved Issue shall be referred by the CAC to arbitration.

No Scheme Participant shall resort to arbitration against another Scheme Participant under the Rulebook until 30 Calendar Days after the referral of the Unresolved Issue to the CAC.

Unless parties to the Unresolved Issue otherwise agree, any Unresolved Issue which is unresolved 30 Calendar Days after the referral of the Unresolved Issue to the CAC shall be finally settled under the Rules of Arbitration of the International Chamber of Commerce by one or more arbitrators appointed in accordance with those Rules. The seat of the arbitration shall be Brussels. The EPC, as represented by an appropriate member of the CAC, shall have the right to participate in the arbitration.

However, if the Unresolved Issue is referred to arbitration in accordance with this section, the parties to the Unresolved Issue may agree to submit to local arbitration in a SEPA jurisdiction. If the relevant Scheme Participants elect to submit to such local arbitration, they shall conduct the arbitration under rules agreed between them. The jurisdiction chosen by the relevant parties for such local arbitration must be substantially connected to the conduct of the Unresolved Issue. The EPC, as represented by an appropriate member of the CAC, shall have the right to participate in the arbitration.

Any arbitration between Scheme Participants under the Rulebook shall (unless the relevant Scheme Participants agree otherwise, and in an Unresolved Issue in which the EPC is participating, with the consent of the EPC) be conducted in the English language.

Alternatively, following a failure by the relevant Scheme Participants to resolve an Unresolved Issue in accordance with the steps set out above, the parties to the Unresolved Issue may agree to submit to such other dispute resolution process (other than arbitration) as they consider appropriate, including litigation. If the relevant parties submit to litigation in accordance with this section, the relevant Scheme Participants shall conduct the litigation in a jurisdiction, and under such processes as are determined by established principles of conflicts of laws.

In arbitration or litigation proceedings, the Rulebooks shall be governed by and interpreted in accordance with Belgian law. A court or arbitrator may however apply such rules of process in relation to the proceedings as may be applicable under established principles of conflicts of laws.

The parties shall inform the CAC of the outcome of any litigation or arbitration or other dispute resolution methods conducted by them. The parties may consult the CAC on matters relating to the interpretation of the Rulebooks in the course of any such arbitration or litigation proceedings.

### **3.4 Complaints Submitted to the CAC**

#### **3.4.1 Role of CAC in Complaints**

The CAC shall oversee the implementation of the Rulebooks by Scheme Participants. The CAC may investigate breaches or potential breaches of the Rulebooks following a complaint made by a Scheme Participant to the CAC.

Unless otherwise stated, a complaint may be submitted by any Scheme Participant and must be filed in writing with the Secretariat. A complaint that is filed with the Secretariat must state the name of the Scheme Participant that is the subject of the complaint (the "**Affected Participant**") together with details of the complaint.

In addition, the CAC may investigate breaches or potential breaches of the Rulebooks of its own accord.

For the purposes of this section, investigations made by the CAC into breaches or potential breaches of the Rulebooks, whether or not initiated by the CAC itself, shall be referred to as complaints.

References to the CAC include any person nominated by the CAC to carry out a function in relation to a complaint, and where a complaint is made by or on behalf of the CAC itself, references to the "parties" are to the Affected Participant only.

#### **3.4.2 Key Principles**

In the course of carrying out its function in relation to complaints, the CAC shall ensure that it acts in accordance with the following general principles:

- the CAC shall act in a manner that is impartial and objective at all times;
- the CAC shall act in a manner that is fair to all parties, taking into account the circumstances of each case;
- the CAC shall ensure that, as far as possible, it acts in a manner that is transparent, open and intelligible to the parties; and
- the CAC shall ensure that it acts in a manner that is proportionate to the seriousness of the matter before it.

The deliberations of the CAC and any discussions held in the course of evaluating and investigating the complaint shall be private and confidential, unless otherwise agreed between the parties.

#### **3.4.3 Investigation of Complaints**

The CAC may nominate a group of members of the CAC to investigate and evaluate a complaint or the CAC may delegate its power to investigate a complaint to the EPC Secretariat or any other person.

The CAC shall as soon as reasonably possible notify the Affected Participant that it is subject to investigation by the CAC. The Affected Participant shall have 28 Calendar Days from receipt of such notification to file written representations in respect of the Complaint. The Affected Participant may be required to cease any activity that could constitute conduct suspected of being in breach of one or both of the Rulebooks.

Members investigating the complaint may in the course of the investigation call for such information and documentation from the Affected Participant as may be relevant for determining whether a breach of a Rulebook has taken place. The Affected Participant shall use reasonable efforts to provide such information to the relevant CAC members as is within the Affected Participant's possession, custody or control. The Affected Participant shall have 28 Calendar Days to respond to such requests for information and documentation.

The CAC may additionally require the Affected Participant to give all reasonable assistance in the course of the CAC investigation. A failure to provide such assistance shall be deemed to be a breach of Scheme rules and may therefore be actionable in accordance with this section.

In addition, in the course of the investigation, relevant CAC members may consult Scheme Participants as well as end-users and suppliers and may call for information and documentation, liaising through Scheme Participants.

Members investigating the complaint may engage any person in order to carry out tasks related to the investigation at the cost of the EPC and within the budget of the EPC. The CAC may also engage a legal professional to give legal advice on any aspects of the investigation. Where this is done, the cost incurred by the CAC and paid by the EPC may be added by the CAC to the costs payable under section 3.4.10 below.

#### **3.4.4 Evaluation of Complaint**

The CAC shall evaluate any information that it may obtain in the course of the investigation. It may engage a skilled person in order to carry out tasks related to the evaluation of the complaint as well as a legal professional to give legal advice on any aspects of the evaluation and adjudication of the complaint at the cost of the EPC and within the budget of the EPC. The CAC may request advice from the EPC Scheme Evolution and Maintenance Working Group (“SEMWG”) and the EPC Legal Support Group (“LSG”) to determine whether a Scheme Participant is in breach of a Rulebook. The CAC shall ensure that any person engaged in this manner shall be subject to a duty of confidentiality in respect of information acquired in the course of its engagement with the CAC.

In the course of this evaluation, the Affected Participant shall be invited to discuss the complaint with the CAC. The Affected Participant may seek legal advice at any stage of this process at its own cost.

When evaluating any complaint, the CAC shall take into account the date of the alleged breach and, except in exceptional circumstances at the discretion of the CAC or where a breach is continuing, shall determine a complaint to be invalid which relates to a breach which occurred three years or more before the complaint is filed.

#### **3.4.5 Sanctions**

On completion of the evaluation, the CAC shall prepare a report on the conduct of the case, setting out the facts of the case and a preliminary evaluation of the complaint.

The CAC shall review the contents of this report, following which the CAC may consider that:

- no further action should be taken in relation to the alleged breach of the Rulebook if the CAC considers that either there is no evidence of a breach, or that the breach is of a trivial nature;
- discussions should take place with the Affected Participant to decide how to proceed in respect of a breach that has already occurred or one that is continuing - no sanctions are contemplated at this stage;
- discussions should take place with the Affected Participant and the Affected Participant should be sanctioned.

If the CAC considers that the Affected Participant should be sanctioned, the CAC shall send a written notice to the Affected Participant setting out details of the complaint and the sanction proposed, the report and any material that is believed to be relevant to the matter.

Subject to section 3.4.7, the Affected Participant shall have 30 Calendar Days following receipt of the notification to accept the sanction, or to present written or oral representations to the CAC (the "**Representation Right**"). The Affected Participant may consult legal counsel at any stage of the sanctioning process.

In considering any representations made to it, the CAC is not bound to follow rules of evidence, as followed in a court or tribunal. It will not normally consider oral evidence. Any party may however adduce written evidence in the course of the deliberations of the CAC and make such representations as it considers appropriate in accordance with this section.

Within 30 Calendar Days of hearing representations from the Affected Participant, the CAC shall determine the sanction to be made against the Affected Participant. The CAC shall notify the Affected Participant of its determination.

The sanctions available to the CAC are the following:

- private warning
- written notification of complaint
- public warning
- report to a national regulator or equivalent national authority, including a NASO
- termination

#### *Private Warning*

The CAC may give a private warning to the Affected Participant. The private warning shall constitute a formal notice to the Affected Participant and aims to deter the Affected Participant from committing a further breach of a Rulebook or to cease conduct that is in breach of a Rulebook. A record of the private warning shall be made by the CAC. This record shall be confidential.

#### *Written Notification of Complaint*

The CAC may give a written notification of a complaint to the Affected Participant. A written notification constitutes a formal reprimand to the Affected Participant. The written notification shall set out details of the breach and is aimed to deter the Affected Participant from committing a further breach of a Rulebook or to cease conduct that is on breach of a Rulebook. The CAC may publish details of this sanction on the Website of the EPC.

#### *Public Warning*

The CAC may give a public warning to the Affected Participant. The public warning shall constitute a formal notice to the Affected Participant and aims to deter the Affected Participant from committing a further breach of a Rulebook or to cease conduct that is in breach of a Rulebook. The public warning shall publish the name of the Affected Participant, together with details of the breach, on the website of the EPC.

#### *Circumstances which may indicate which Warning Sanctions may be applied*

The decision as to which sanction or sanctions may be appropriate in respect of any Affected Participant shall be entirely at the discretion of the CAC. However, the following circumstances would tend to indicate that one of the above three sanctions would be more appropriate than the sanction of termination (described below):

- the conduct of the Affected Participant did not display bad faith nor was it due to gross negligence towards other Scheme Participants or to the Scheme(s) of which the Affected Participant is part;
- the conduct of the Affected Participant did not display dishonesty and the Affected Participant did not act in a grossly unprofessional manner;

- the breach was not of such a serious nature as to potentially undermine the operation and integrity of one or both of the Schemes;
- the Affected Participant had not committed a breach, or a breach of this type, in the past;
- the breach was of a nature that the CAC believes would be best addressed by deterrent action envisaged by these three sanctions and that it remains appropriate for the Affected Participant to continue as a Scheme Participant in the relevant Scheme(s) rather than facing expulsion under the sanction of termination; and
- the breach can be rectified without loss or cost to any other Scheme Participant or user or the EPC

As regards which of the three Warning Sanctions might be applicable to any case:

- a private warning may generally be considered more appropriate for a first breach where the breach was not of a serious nature, had not adversely affected other Scheme Participants or the Scheme(s), and there would be no merit in other Scheme Participants being informed of the breach;
- a written notification of complaint, being a formal reprimand, would be applied where the CAC considered the breach to be of a sufficiently serious nature to record a reprimand against the firm. The CAC may consider publishing the notification on its website if it believed this would be in the interests of other Scheme Participants and/or the Scheme(s)
- a public warning, being a formal notice, would be applied in the case of a more serious breach and where the CAC believes it would be in the interests of other Scheme Participants and/or the Schemes to publicise the notice. This sanction is the most likely of the three to be used in conjunction with the sanction of termination.

#### *Report to National Regulator*

In addition to giving a private warning, public warning or written notification of breach, the CAC may report the Affected Participant to its national regulator, NASO or to an equivalent national authority. The regulator shall be provided with the name of the Affected Participant together with details of the conduct of the Participant.

Considerations which may indicate the appropriateness of this sanction would be if the CAC believed that the breach by the Affected Participant may also constitute a breach of the rules or guidelines of a relevant regulator or if the Affected Participant's conduct cast doubt on its fitness and propriety to continue as a regulated entity. However, the decision whether or not to report a breach by an Affected Participant to a regulator will be entirely at the CAC 's discretion.

#### *Termination*

In addition to making a report to a relevant national regulator or giving a private warning, written notification of breach or public warning to the Affected Participant, the CAC may terminate the participation of an Affected Participant in a Scheme in the following circumstances:

- where the breach committed by the Affected Participant is sufficiently serious to undermine the operation and integrity of a Scheme;
- where the Affected Participant has committed a repeated breach of a Rulebook, notwithstanding any earlier sanctions given to the Affected Participant by the CAC;
- where the conduct of the Affected Participant displays bad faith or gross negligence towards other Scheme Participants or towards the Scheme(s) of which it is part; or
- where the conduct of the Affected Participant displays dishonesty or is grossly unprofessional.

Before making a termination order, the CAC may consult with relevant groups to determine the impact of the sanction. Such groups may include other Scheme Participants, the EPC Board, clearing and settlement mechanisms or PSP communities. The CAC shall consult with relevant regulators before applying the termination sanction.

If the CAC decides to terminate the participation of an Affected Participant, it shall make a termination order setting out the terms and conditions on which the termination is to be effected. Such an order shall set out the steps to be taken by the Affected Participant to ensure the continued orderly and efficient operation of the Schemes.

In the event of termination, the Affected Participant shall be barred from exercising rights under the Rulebooks in accordance with the terms and conditions set out in the termination order. The Affected Participant shall fulfil all obligations arising under the Rulebooks in accordance with the termination order.

If the participation of an Affected Participant is terminated, the Affected Participant may re-apply to join the relevant Scheme after 6 months, starting from the date of the termination of its participation. However, an Affected Participant may re-apply earlier if it can demonstrate to the CAC that it has remedied the breach and/or that there is no reasonable likelihood of the Scheme Participant committing the breach in future.

The CAC shall publish details of a termination of participation on the website of the EPC together with the relevant order and details of the conduct giving rise to the complaint.

#### **3.4.6 Emergency Injunction Procedure**

Where a termination order is issued to an Affected Participant, such Affected Participant may within 21 Calendar Days of receiving notification of the order, apply for an injunction against such order to a competent court in Belgium, during which time the sanction shall be suspended pending the court's determination of the matter. Where the court decides not to grant the injunction requested by the Affected Participant, the CAC may enforce the conditions of the termination order. The courts of Belgium shall have exclusive jurisdiction in respect of proceedings brought in accordance with this section.

#### **3.4.7 Appeals Arising from Complaints**

Within 30 Calendar Days of receiving the notification of a sanction, the Affected Participant may appeal to the Appeals Committee in accordance with section 3.5.

#### **3.4.8 Timing of Sanctions**

Except in exceptional circumstances described in more detail below, a determination by the CAC of a sanction to be made against an Affected Participant shall not take effect until the conclusion of appeals proceedings before the Appeals Committee that may be commenced in accordance with these Internal Rules, or until such time as the time period for referring a matter to an appeal to the Appeals Committee has expired in accordance with these Internal Rules.

Of all sanctions available to the CAC, the imposition of the following sanctions only shall be suspended awaiting the determination of the appeal: (i) public warning, (ii) report to national regulator or equivalent national authority, including NASO, and/or (iii) termination.

The following applies only if the CAC considers that the conduct or circumstances of the Affected Participant will undermine the operation of any of the Schemes or would cause a serious risk of undermining the operation of any of the Schemes. The CAC may impose a sanction of which it has notified the Affected Participant with immediate effect, or at any other time specified by the CAC. In particular, the CAC may impose a sanction in such circumstances even though the Representation Right has not expired; or any appeal under section 3.5 has not yet been determined.

However, both the Representation Right and the right to appeal against any sanction will remain available to any Affected Participant notwithstanding the expedited imposition of any sanction.

The decision whether or not to expedite the imposition of sanctions under this section 3.4.8 shall be entirely at the discretion of the CAC, however, issues which would tend to indicate the need for such action would be insolvency, loss of regulatory licence(s), or criminal conviction of the Affected Participant.

In cases where a sanction takes effect with immediate effect or at any other time specified by the CAC, the sanction shall remain in force for as long as determined by the CAC or until it is revoked by a determination of the case at appeal. No Affected Participant will have any right of recourse against the CAC for any loss suffered due to the imposition of a sanction if a sanction is subsequently revoked on appeal or under any other circumstances.

### **3.4.9 Eligibility, Merger and Acquisition of a Scheme Participant**

In addition to the circumstances set out in section 3.3.1, the CAC may investigate, initiate or respond to a complaint in the following circumstances:

- a Scheme Participant has failed to satisfy one or more of the Scheme eligibility criteria; and
- a Scheme Participant has failed to notify the EPC of its intention to terminate its participation under section 5.11 of the relevant Rulebook,

The CAC may treat evidence of the existence of these circumstances coming to its attention as if it were a formal complaint, and deal with the matter in accordance with section 3.3.1 of these Internal Rules. Any references to a 'breach' of the Rulebooks in section 3.4.1 shall include a breach of the Adherence Agreement (including the representations and warranties set out in the Adherence Agreement) entered into by the Scheme Participant and may be treated by the CAC as being references to the circumstances set out in this section 3.4.9.

### **3.4.10 Costs**

An upfront, non-refundable administrative fee outlined in Appendix 3 of the SMIRs on the Scheme Management cost recovery mechanism will be payable by the complainant to the EPC, upon lodging the complaint, to cover basic administrative costs. This fee will be recoverable from the losing party, as appropriate. Appendix 3 of the SMIRs listing this fee will be reviewed regularly and adjusted in line with any actual costs incurred in the first year plus a reasonable increase uplift for anticipated increases in costs in the year in question and will be adjusted accordingly in subsequent years.

The EPC will ensure that any fee set under this section is quantified so as to be consistent with the costs incurred and paid by the EPC and the EPC's status as a non-profit organisation under Belgian law. In addition, any relevant non-administrative costs incurred during the course of the proceedings will be recovered from the losing party.

Where the complaint is withdrawn by the complainant before a formal CAC decision on the complaint has been made, the CAC's costs incurred to handle the complaint proceedings up to that point in time will be recovered from the complainant.

Where the CAC initiates a complaint, it may require the Affected Participant to contribute to any costs incurred by the CAC in relation to the complaint, if the Affected Participant were found to be in breach of the Rulebook(s).

## **3.5 Appeals**

### **3.5.1 Introduction to the Appeals Process**

In this section and unless the context otherwise indicates, a reference to the Appeals Committee shall be read as a reference to those persons comprising the Appeals Committee who have been nominated to carry out the Appeals Function of Scheme Management in accordance with section 3.2.2.1 of these Internal Rules.

Where the decision under appeal is a decision in which the CAC had initiated a complaint under section 3.4.1 of these Internal Rules, the CAC is not to be regarded as a "party" to the appeal.

The role of the Appeals Committee shall be to determine whether, on the basis of the material put before it by the appellant, a decision reached in complaints and adherence matters was correct and justified. The Appeals Committee may request advice from a third party professional, including a legal professional in the course of its deliberations.

Deliberations before the Appeals Committee shall be conducted in private and shall be confidential unless otherwise agreed between the parties.

In considering any representations made to it, the Appeals Committee is not bound to follow rules of evidence, as followed in a court or tribunal. The Appeals Committee will not normally consider oral evidence.

The Appeals Committee shall act in accordance with the principles set out in section 3.5.2 to ensure that a matter is handled fairly and impartially. It may stipulate such conditions as it considers appropriate in order to ensure that this obligation is fulfilled.

In the course of determining an appeal, the Appeals Panel must not discuss details of the case with members of other EPC bodies, without first ensuring that such discussions are carried out with the agreement of the parties to the appeal.

The Appeals Committee may engage skilled professionals or the Secretariat to carry out administrative duties arising out of the conduct of appeals before the Appeals Committee at the cost of the EPC and within the budget of the EPC. The Appeals Committee shall ensure that any person engaged in this manner shall be subject to a duty of confidentiality in respect of information acquired in the course of its engagement with the Appeals Committee.

### **3.5.2 Key Principles**

In carrying out the Appeals Function, the Appeals Committee shall perform its functions in accordance with the following principles:

- the Appeals Committee shall act in a manner that is impartial and objective at all times;
- the Appeals Committee shall act in a manner that is fair to all parties, taking into account the circumstances of each matter before it;
- the Appeals Committee shall act in a timely manner to determine matters arising before it;
- the Appeals Committee shall allow all parties to make representations and present written material to the Appeals Committee;
- the Appeals Committee shall ensure that, as far as possible, matters referred to it are dealt with in a way which is transparent, open and intelligible to the parties; and
- the Appeals Committee shall ensure that it acts in a manner that is proportionate to the seriousness of the matter before it.
- each member shall be subject to a duty of confidence in respect of appeals cases pending before the Appeals Committee.

### **3.5.3 Submission of Appeals Notice**

A person with the right to an appeal under these Internal Rules must file an appeals notice with the Secretariat. An appeals notice shall set out details of the case under appeal, reasons supporting the appeal, together with a copy of the determination that is the subject of the appeal.

Within 21 Calendar Days of receiving the appeals notice, the Secretariat shall provide a copy of the appeals notice to the CAC. The CAC members shall have 21 Calendar Days to file written representations in respect of the appeal. They may appoint one or more representatives from their number to take the appeal forward on their behalf.

The Appeals Committee shall then consider the appeals notice and any representations filed and, within 21 Calendar Days of receiving representations from each party, shall notify all parties of the date of the appeal meeting.

At any time before the date of the meeting, the Appeals Committee may, but is not obliged to make such directions to the parties as may be useful for the swift and fair determination of the appeal. Such directions may include the following:

- directions to exchange documents relevant for the appeal; and
- directions to exchange names and written statements of any witnesses, including expert witnesses (if any).

The Appeals Committee shall ensure that all documents and evidence received from the CAC by the Appeals Committee or by one or other of the parties is provided to all the parties to the appeal in a timely manner in advance of the appeal meeting.

#### **3.5.4 Meeting**

The Appeals Committee shall aim to determine the appeal between the parties in a manner that is fair, open and amicable at a meeting involving all relevant parties.

Unless otherwise agreed, this meeting shall be private. Parties may bring legal representatives to a meeting.

In the event that a party does not attend the meeting, or if both parties do not attend, the Appeals Committee may arrive at such determination as it considers appropriate, or may postpone the date of the meeting.

The Appeals Committee shall consider all the material put before it and allow the parties to make oral representations during the meeting.

The Appeals Committee shall then deliver a decision on the appeal.

The Appeals Committee may make either of the following determinations:

- confirm, vary, or reverse the decision of the CAC at first instance;
- impose any sanction that may have been imposed, but was not imposed by the CAC at first instance.

The Appeals Committee may publish the details of the appeals decision on the website of the EPC. Any decisions of the CAC at first instance that are published on the website of the EPC, if varied or reversed at appeal, shall be amended accordingly on the EPC Website.

A party to an appeal may withdraw from the appeal at any time by giving notice to the Appeals Committee. The appeal shall be closed with immediate effect and the Appeals Committee may make such determination in respect of the subject matter of the appeal and in respects of the allocation of costs for the appeal as may be appropriate.

#### **3.5.5 Costs**

An upfront, non-refundable administrative fee outlined in Appendix 3 of the SMIRs on the Scheme Management cost recovery mechanism will be payable to the EPC upon lodging the appeal, by the party filing the appeal in question, to cover basic administrative costs. This fee will be recoverable from the losing party, as appropriate. Appendix 3 of the SMIRs listing this fee will be reviewed and adjusted in line with any actual costs incurred in the first year plus a reasonable amount for anticipated increases in costs in the year in question and will be adjusted accordingly in subsequent years.

The EPC will ensure that any fee set under this section is quantified so as to be consistent with the costs incurred by the EPC and the EPC's status as a non-profit organisation under Belgian law. In addition, any relevant non-administrative costs incurred by the EPC during the course of the proceedings will be recovered from the losing party.

Where the appeal is withdrawn by the appeal filing party before a formal Appeals Committee decision on the appeal has been formulated, the EPC's costs incurred to handle the appeal proceedings up to that point in time will be recovered from the appeal filing party.

Where there is a sole party to the appeal, the Appeals Committee shall have the power to require that party to bear the EPC's costs in respect of the appeal, if that party were found to be in breach of the Rulebook(s).

### **3.5.6 Further Steps**

Following the determination of the Appeals Committee, if a party to the appeal does not consider the issue to have been correctly resolved, it shall be open to that party to attempt to resolve the matter through such means as it considers appropriate, including litigation in a competent court in Brussels. As the EPC shall always be a defendant in such proceedings, the courts of Brussels shall have exclusive jurisdiction in respect of proceedings brought in accordance with this section. Such a party may challenge the decision before the courts of Brussels, but only on the grounds of a serious breach by the EPC of these Internal Rules or of a breach of mandatory rules of law, or on the grounds that the decision, when subject to a *prima facie* review (*examen marginal / marginale toetsing*) by the court, appears manifestly incorrect.

## 4 MAINTENANCE AND EVOLUTION

### 4.1 Change Management Process

#### 4.1.1 Change Management - Guiding Principles

It is a key objective of the EPC that the Schemes are able to evolve with an evolving payment services market. To meet the demands of the Scheme Participants and stakeholders including end-users and PSP communities, the Schemes shall be subject to a change management process that is structured, transparent and open, governed by the rules of the management and evolution function of SEPA Scheme Management.

The key principles underpinning change management are the following:

- Innovation - the Schemes shall be open to innovative proposals to improve delivery of the Schemes in order that the Schemes are competitive, efficient and able to benefit from the latest developments in payments technology.
- Compliance with applicable legislation and regulation - the Schemes shall be and remain at all times in compliance with the relevant Belgian and EU legislation and with any relevant regulatory requirements.
- Transparency - the change management process shall be transparent and open so that changes implemented into the Schemes are carefully considered and scrutinised. Establishing open channels for Scheme Participants, end-users and suppliers to propose changes is a key aim of change management.
- Impact analysis - proposals for change are supported, where appropriate, by a careful analysis evaluating its impact on the Customer-to-PSP, the PSP-to-PSP and the PSP-to-Customer domains to ensure that changes implemented into the Schemes are viable.
- Development of SEPA - the Schemes are seen as an important platform for Scheme Participants to develop SEPA-enabled products and services that allow both end-users and Scheme Participants to take advantage of the development of and investment in SEPA.

#### 4.1.2 Change Management - Terminology

The change management process shall involve ideas for changes being formulated as follows:

**Change Request** - A Change Request denotes any concrete and comprehensible proposal for making a change to the Schemes which is to be presented along with a substantiated reasoning on why and how it concerns the Initiator (or the stakeholders it is representing). A Change Request may be devised by any individual or organisation that is able to claim a legitimate interest in this change management process and is submitted to the Secretariat in accordance with these Internal Rules.

**Initiator** - Refers to an individual or organisation that submits a Change Request in accordance with these Internal Rules.

**SEMWG Recommendation** - The Scheme Evolution and Maintenance Working Group (SEMWG) is in charge of analysing the Change Request. Following its analysis, the SEMWG makes a Recommendation about the Change Request. Both the Change Request and the related SEMWG Recommendation will be submitted for a Public Consultation.

**Public Consultation Document** - The SEMWG consolidates all received Change Requests in accordance with these Internal Rules and its Recommendation on each Change Request in a Public Consultation Document.

**Public Consultation** - The Public Consultation starts with the publication of the Public Consultation Document on the EPC Website. Scheme Participants and Stakeholders have the opportunity to comment on the Change Requests and related SEMWG Recommendations described in the Public Consultation Document.

**Change Proposal** – The SEMWG formulates a Change Proposal based on the outcome of the Public Consultation on the Change Requests and the related SEMWG Recommendations.

Where the Change Request proposes to modify the Rulebooks and any related documentation, a Change Proposal shall include a mark-up of the Rulebooks and any related documentation to show the proposed amendments to be made to the Rulebooks and related documentation when the change would be implemented.

**Change Proposal Submission Document** - The SEMWG makes the Change Proposal Submission Document which is a consolidation of the Change Requests, the related non-confidential comments received from the contributors during the Public Consultation and the related Change Proposals.

The Change Proposal Submission Document also indicates that each stage of the change management process has been completed.

#### **4.1.3 Role of SMB and Scheme Evolution and Maintenance Working Group**

The management and evolution function of SEPA Scheme Management shall be performed by the SMB supported by the SEMWG.

The SMB shall formulate proposals to and interact with stakeholders and end-users on the evolution of the SEPA Schemes and implement changes, taking into account the overall strategy and policy goals of SEPA and the EPC, identifying key needs and finding appropriate solutions.

The SMB shall be supported by the SEMWG. The SEMWG is the co-ordination and administration body for change management whose role involves liaising with Initiators, reviewing Change Requests, formulating Change Proposals and guiding these through the change management process. The SEMWG shall operate in accordance with its terms of reference. The Chair of the SEMWG, who may or may not be a member of the SMB, shall be invited to attend all SMB meetings.

#### **4.1.4 Submission of Change Requests to the Secretariat**

A Change Request as described in section 4.1.2 shall be submitted to the Secretariat in accordance with the rules set out in this section. Change Requests shall be submitted in all cases in accordance with a format which will be published for this purpose on the EPC website.

The Initiator needs to substantiate the interests it represents (e.g., a specific institution, an association of institutions at national or at SEPA-level) in order that the SEMWG and any contributor during the Public Consultation can understand the potential impact of the change request on the concerned Scheme Participant or stakeholder.

It is recommended that different individuals or organisations representing as a whole a specific stakeholder community at national and/or at SEPA level through e.g., an association or a representative body, agree first on a **joint** Change Request on that stakeholder community level and then submit it to the Secretariat. Such a joint Change Request will ease the Change Request review process for the SEMWG prior to the Public Consultation and for the contributors when analysing the Change Requests during the Public Consultation.

It is recommended that the Initiator supports the Change Request, where appropriate, with an impact analysis (set out in chapter 5 of these Internal Rules). Such an impact analysis emphasizes the merits of the Change Request and can influence the formulation of the SEMWG Recommendation on the Change Request prior to the start of the Public Consultation and the opinion of the contributors when analysing the Change Requests during the Public Consultation.

#### **4.1.5 Acknowledgement of Receipt of a Change Request**

The Secretariat shall acknowledge receipt of the Change Request to the Initiator within 21 Calendar Days of receiving the Change Request. An acknowledgement of receipt does not imply that a Change Request has been accepted but only that the Change Request has been received.

#### **4.1.6 Consideration of a Change Request**

The SEMWG shall analyse (a) whether the change as suggested in a Change Request falls within the scope of the Scheme and (b) whether the change proposed by the Change Request is a Minor Change or a Major Change.

In respect of (a), as part of this analysis, the SEMWG shall consider the change proposed by a Change Request in accordance with the following broad criteria:

- the change presents a case for wide SEPA market-acceptance;
- the change is sufficiently concrete
- the change is feasible to implement; and
- the change must not compromise SEPA-wide interoperability of the Schemes or the integrity of the Schemes.

In respect of (b), the SEMWG shall assess whether a Change Request proposing a change can be defined as a Minor Change or a Major Change.

A Minor Change is a change of an uncontroversial and usually technical nature that facilitates the comprehension and use of the Rulebooks. Clarifications of existing rules shall not be deemed to affect the substance of the Rulebooks or the Schemes and will therefore be a Minor Change. Examples of such changes include corrections of spelling mistakes, grammatical corrections, or minor adjustments to technical standards in the Rulebooks to take account of upgrades. More information about the process for Minor Changes are set out in section 4.3 of these Internal Rules.

A Major Change by contrast is a change that affects or proposes to alter the substance of the Rulebooks and the Schemes. Examples of such changes include the addition or development of new technical standards, proposals for new services to be offered in the Schemes, or changes affecting policy. Any change to chapters 5 and 6 of the Rulebooks shall always be a Major Change. Changes that are classified as Major Changes are approved through detailed consultation, as set out in section 4.2 of these Internal Rules.

Any change to the Internal Rules shall not be counted as Minor Change. Such Change Requests shall be submitted first to the EPC Legal Support Group (LSG) for its advice. The Change Request and the related LSG advice are then presented to the SMB for a first assessment unless the Change Request was initiated by the SMB itself. Any decision to integrate or not to integrate a Change Request for change to the Internal Rules into the Public Consultation Document must be endorsed by both the SMB and the EPC Board.

#### **4.1.7 Publication of Change Requests**

All Change Requests that comply with the published EPC template for Change Requests and with the section 4.1.4 of these Internal Rules shall be submitted for Public Consultation. The SEMWG shall provide the Initiator with a written response before the start of the Public Consultation indicating the reasons in the event that a Change Request cannot be considered for the Public Consultation.

## 4.2 Process for Major Rulebook Changes

### 4.2.1 Preparation of SEMWG Recommendation

Once a Change Request from the Initiator has been classified as a Major Change by the SEMWG, the SEMWG is responsible for analysing in detail the submitted Change Request (and if provided the related impact analysis) and for preparing its Recommendation for the Public Consultation.

The analysis of the SEMWG will also indicate if the Change Request meets the criteria set out in section 4.1.6 of these Internal Rules. The SEMWG may ask the Initiator to provide an impact analysis to demonstrate the potential of the Change Request.

The SEMWG will determine whether any Change Request which includes a request for expedited implementation in accordance with section 4.2.7 of these Internal Rules on grounds that the proposed change constitutes a non-operational change, does indeed qualify as such. If the SEMWG is satisfied that a Change Request would have no operational impact on Scheme Participants and that it is suitable for an expedited implementation, the SEMWG will make a recommendation to the SMB that the Change Request is implemented as a non-operational change in accordance with section 4.2.7.

Where the change as presented in the Change Request proposes to modify the Rulebooks and any related documentation, the SEMWG recommendation on the basis of the Change Request shall also show the likely amendments to be made to the Rulebooks and related documentation in case of implementing the proposed change.

The SEMWG shall make all reasonable efforts to complete the analysis and its recommendation for each Change Request in a timely manner. Each Change Request will be given one of the SEMWG Recommendation options below:

- a. The change is **already provided for** in the Scheme: no action is necessary for the EPC
- b. The change **should be incorporated into the Scheme**: the Change Request becomes part of the Scheme and the Rulebook is amended accordingly
- c. The change **should be included in the Scheme as an optional feature**:
  - The new feature is optional and the Rulebook will be amended accordingly
  - Each Scheme Participant may decide to offer the feature to its customers, or not
- d. The change **is not considered fit for SEPA wide use** and could be taken up **as an additional optional service (AOS)** by interested communities
  - The proposed new feature is not included in the Rulebook or in the Implementation Guidelines released by the EPC with regard to the Rulebook
  - The development of AOS is out of scope of the EPC. The EPC does however publish declared AOS arrangements on the EPC Website for information
  - The EPC may consider the inclusion of AOS arrangements, if supported by a sufficient number of communities, in a future version of the Rulebook
- e. The change **cannot be part** of the Scheme
  - It is technically impossible or otherwise not feasible (to be explained on a case by case basis)
  - It is out of scope of the Scheme
  - It does not comply with the SEPA Regulation or any other relevant EU or Belgian legislation

The SEMWG will share the Public Consultation Document containing the Change Requests and the related SEMWG recommendations with the SMB, the Scheme End-User Forum (SEUF) and the EPC Scheme Technical Forum (ESTF) prior to the start of the Public Consultation on the Change Requests.

#### **4.2.2 Dialogue with the Initiator**

In the course of developing its recommendation on the Change Request, the SEMWG may consult with the Initiator for clarification purposes. To that end the SEMWG can invite the Initiator to present its Change Request(s).

The Initiator can also ask the Secretariat to present its Change Request in further detail to the SEMWG.

#### **4.2.3 Public Consultation on Change Request**

Once the SEMWG has concluded on its recommendations related to each Change Request, the SEMWG shall begin the process of consulting Scheme Participants and stakeholders including end users and service suppliers on the submitted Change Requests, via a Public Consultation. The Public Consultation shall start with the publication of the Public Consultation Document on the EPC Website.

The SEMWG shall aim to conclude the Public Consultations after 90 Calendar Days of publication of the Public Consultation Document on the EPC Website.

##### *Scheme Participants*

PSP communities are requested to ask their members which are Participants to the Schemes whether they support or not the Change Request or the related SEMWG Recommendation. Each PSP community then notifies the SEMWG of the outcome of such a consultation with its members. Scheme Participants, through their PSP communities, may provide comments on the Change Requests to the SEMWG.

Such community feedback is essential to determine whether a Change Request is supported by a majority of the responding Scheme Participants from that PSP community. It is a valuable contribution for the SMB during its deliberations to accept or not a Change Proposal (reference is made to section 4.2.5 of these Internal Rules).

##### *End-user and suppliers*

End-users and suppliers can give contributions through the SEUF and the ESTF as described under section 4.4 of these Internal Rules.

#### **4.2.4 Process following Public Consultation**

The SEMWG shall collect and analyse the support for each Change Request and the comments received from all Scheme Participants and stakeholders and shall develop its Change Proposals based on the comments received from the Public Consultation.

A Change Proposal as developed by the SEMWG may bring together more than one change, developed from one or more Change Requests.

The SEMWG will consolidate the Change Proposals, along with each Change Request and the related non-confidential comments received from the contributors during the Public Consultation, in the Change Proposal Submission Document.

The Change Proposal Submission Document shall indicate that each stage of the change management process, from initiation to consultation, has been properly completed in respect of the Change Request submitted.

The Change Proposal Submission Document is then submitted to the SMB for decision-making purposes in accordance with section 4.2.5 of these Internal Rules, and to the SEUF and the ESTF. The SEUF and the ESTF formulate their respective positions as described in section 4.4 of these Internal Rules and address them to the SMB for its final deliberations in accordance with section 4.2.5.

#### **4.2.5 SMB Deliberations on the SEMWG Change Proposal Submission Document and on the Positions from the EPC Stakeholder Fora**

The SMB deliberates on the Change Proposal Submission Document from the SEMWG and the position documents from the SEUF and the ESTF. The SMB shall finally determine whether or not to accept a Change Proposal after consideration of the position from the EPC Stakeholder Fora in accordance with section 4.4 of these Internal Rules.

If the SMB considers that the Change Proposal could be of strategic relevance to the EPC, for example when the Change Proposal relates to the geographic scope of the SEPA Schemes or to the Change release process and cycle itself, the Change Proposal shall be submitted for endorsement to the EPC Board, without which it could not be accepted by the SMB.

#### **4.2.6 Publication**

The Change Proposal Submission Document submitted to the SMB shall be published on the EPC Website along with the decision of the SMB on each Change Proposal. The SEMWG shall publish all Change Requests and Change Proposals, irrespective of whether the change has been accepted or rejected at the SMB.

#### **4.2.7 Change Release Process Cycle**

The SMB shall launch a Change Release Process at a minimum every two years but may at its discretion decide on a shorter cycle. This will ensure that Scheme Participants and stakeholders have sufficient time to gain sufficient experience with the respective changes of the last change cycle. This should further ensure Scheme stability for all actors.

In order to ensure that the Schemes are not disrupted by the rapid implementation of numerous Change Proposals in a short space of time, it shall not be possible for the SMB to approve more than 1 Change Proposal Submission Document in any year, except in exceptional circumstances (see sections 4.2.8 and 4.2.9 of the Internal Rules).

The EPC may only implement a Change Proposal, as approved by the SMB, at the earliest 6 months after the date on which the Change Proposal is published on the EPC Website in accordance with section 4.2.6. In respect of complex changes, the EPC may specify a longer period of notice before implementing a Change Proposal.

The EPC may implement a Change Proposal on shorter notice where the change proposed pertains to any section of these Internal Rules. Changes proposed to any section of these Internal Rules shall take effect on a date to be determined by the SMB but not earlier than 30 calendar days after SMB approval.

A change which has been designated by the SMB as a non-operational change suitable for expedited implementation under section 4.2.1 of these Internal Rules may be implemented at a date earlier than 6 months after the date on which the Change Proposal is published on the EPC Website. Such date will be determined by the SMB on a case by case basis following consideration of a recommendation from the SEMWG.

#### **4.2.8 Exceptional Change**

In exceptional circumstances, the SMB can approve the urgent implementation of a Change Proposal only in cases whereby the failure to implement a change may result in a disruption to the Schemes or to users of the Schemes (e.g., material mistakes or significant flaws in the Scheme are reported).

The SEMWG shall prepare, in close cooperation with the LSG, an Exceptional Change Proposal Submission Document for submission to the SMB alongside the Exceptional Change Proposal. The SEUF and the ESTF will provide their respective position documents on the Exceptional Change Proposal to the SMB.

The SMB shall determine whether or not to accept the Exceptional Change Proposal.

An Exceptional Change Proposal that has been considered by the SMB shall be published on the EPC Website together with the Exceptional Change Proposal Submission Document and the decision of the SMB.

The EPC may implement an Exceptional Change Proposal, as approved by the SMB, at the earliest from the business day following the date on which the Exceptional Change Proposal is published on the EPC Website. Such date will be determined by the SMB on a case by case basis.

#### **4.2.9 Change for Regulatory Reasons**

The creation of or amendments to relevant rules and regulations (including the technical requirements set out in the Annex to the SEPA Regulation as amended by the European Commission from time to time) might necessitate the urgent alignment of the Schemes with such rules and regulations.

In such case the SEMWG, in close collaboration with the LSG, will prepare a Regulatory Change Proposal. This will be done as soon as reasonably possible, in light of the date on which the new or amended rules and regulations will enter into force.

The SEMWG shall complete a Regulatory Change Proposal Submission Document for submission to the SMB alongside the Regulatory Change Proposal. The Regulatory Change Proposal Submission Document shall specify that the change proposed relates to a mandatory rule of law, and the reasons why the regular change management process could not be followed.

The SMB shall determine whether or not to accept the Regulatory Change Proposal.

A Regulatory Change Proposal that has been considered by the SMB shall be published on the EPC Website together with the Regulatory Change Proposal Submission Document and the decision of the SMB.

The EPC may implement a Regulatory Change Proposal, as approved by the SMB, at the earliest from the business day following the date on which the Regulatory Change Proposal is published on the EPC Website. Such date will be determined by the SMB on a case by case basis following consideration of a recommendation from the SEMWG and the LSG.

#### **4.3 Process for Minor Rulebook Changes**

The SEMWG shall notify the list of Minor Changes within the Public Consultation Document used for Major Rulebook Changes (see section 4.2.3 of these Internal Rules).

As Minor Changes do not affect the substance of the Rulebooks or the Schemes, the contributors taking part in the Public Consultation are not requested to provide comments to these Minor Changes. These Changes will also be included in the SEMWG Change Proposal Submission Document (see section 4.2.4 and 4.2.5 of these Internal Rules).

In the event that the SEMWG receives extensive comments on the list of Minor Changes, where some items on the list are identified by contributors as potentially Major Changes, the SEMWG may remove the item from the List and consider re-classifying this item.

The SEMWG shall consult with the relevant Initiator(s) on the status of the item with a view to determining whether a change is a Minor or a Major Change. Following such a consideration, the change may be re-classified as a Major Change and to be approved through the approval process for Major Changes, as set out in these Internal Rules.

#### **4.4 EPC Stakeholder Fora**

The SMB shall consider the position documents from the EPC Stakeholder Fora on a Change Request and on the relevant Change Proposal during the change management process. End-users and suppliers shall have an opportunity to present their views through stakeholder fora. The change management process shall aim to capture a range of stakeholder opinions in SEPA.

### **Scheme End-User Forum (SEUF)**

The SEUF is established in order to cater for a thorough consultation of end-user representative associations for advice to the SMB on the evolution of the Schemes.

The SEUF shall represent a wide cross-section of interest groups at the European level, including consumers, large users and small and medium sized enterprises. This stakeholder forum shall operate in accordance with terms of reference concluded with the SMB.

The SMB shall request through a public call for SEUF candidates, properly established, representative European end-user associations or major pan-European end-users with presence in multiple countries to nominate a representative(s) to the SEUF (one member per eligible stakeholder association or end-user at the European level). The representative(s) nominated by such groups, together with up to five SEMWG members (including its Chair), shall form the SEUF.

It is open for organisations nominating a representative to withdraw a member from this forum at any stage and replace this member with another representative. However, to encourage continuity in the work of the forum, the forum should aim, as far as reasonably possible to have a stable and committed membership.

The SMB shall have discretion in deciding whether a stakeholder group at the European level is sufficiently established to qualify as a nominating stakeholder group.

The SEUF will meet at least twice per year to reflect on the maintenance and evolution of the Schemes.

The SEUF has no decision making power but is a consultative body to the SMB.

The SEUF is invited to provide its consolidated comments in a position document on the Change Requests and on the related Change Proposals outlined in the Change Proposal Submission Document. This position document will be communicated to the SMB.

### **EPC Scheme Technical Forum (ESTF)**

In addition to consulting Scheme Participants and Scheme end-users, the SMB shall facilitate the establishment of a stakeholder forum for various types of technology and service providers including Clearing and Settlement Mechanisms (CSMs) in SEPA.

The ESTF is established for consultation and advice to the SMB, and for the provision of relevant Scheme related information to technical players.

The SMB shall request through a public call for ESTF candidates, properly established, representative European technical player associations or major technical players with presence in multiple countries to nominate a representative(s) to the ESTF (one member per eligible association or player). The representative(s) nominated by such groups, together with up to five SEMWG members (including its Chair), shall form the ESTF.

It is open for organisations nominating a representative to withdraw a member from this forum at any stage and replace this member with another representative. However, to encourage continuity in the work of the forum, the forum should aim, as far as reasonably possible to have a stable and committed membership.

The SMB shall have complete discretion in deciding whether a stakeholder group at the European level is sufficiently established to qualify as a nominating stakeholder group.

The ESTF will meet at least twice per year to be informed and provide advice on the management and evolution of the Schemes.

The ESTF is invited to provide its consolidated comments in a position document on the Change Requests and on the related Change Proposals outlined in the Change Proposal Submission Document. This position document will be communicated to the SMB.

## 5 APPENDIX 1 – IMPACT ANALYSIS

### 5.1.1 Impact Analysis ("IA") - Introduction

An IA evaluates the impact of a Change Request together with a practical assessment of its benefit for the industry, including the Scheme Participants, the end-user, and the society as a whole.

### 5.1.2 IA - Analytical Parameters

An IA shall illustrate the following:

- The impact for the industry, including Scheme Participants and suppliers of payments technology and infrastructure including costs and benefits;
- The impact on the relationships PSP-to-customer, inter-PSP and customer-to-PSP and, where appropriate, other parties involved in the payment; and
- The impact for end-users and for SEPA as a whole, showing where the impact of the Change Request will be felt across the different areas of the SEPA payments environment.

#### *Impact for Industry*

An IA should clearly show all the consequences that would result when implementing a Change Request.

The benefits for industry shall be determined mainly by the added value of a new service to the end-users, or by the added value to the existing service provided to the end-users. Accordingly, the IA shall include information on the likely end-user uptake of the Change Request by including results of any surveys, research or projections.

#### *Benefits for End-Users and SEPA*

The IA shall consider the wide benefit accruing to end-users and to society as a whole as part of any analysis.

The wider social benefits of a Change Request may be seen in the benefits it holds for technological innovation, faster service delivery or financial stabilisation.

### 5.1.3 IA - Results

An IA outlines the financial and non-financial effects of the Change Request for Scheme Participants, end-users and suppliers.

The IA or the Change Request itself shall set out the efforts for upgrading technology and infrastructure to deal with the change together with an analysis of the general risks that may impact on the implementation of the new changes.

If an IA shows that the benefits do not justify the efforts involved, it is expected that this will lead to the rejection by the SMB of the Change Request and the related Change Proposal.

In some cases, where the IA shows that the change would be positive for end-users but costly for the industry, this analysis is likely to contribute to a debate at the level of end-users, suppliers and the SMB. Such a debate may focus on the funding arrangements necessary for re-distributing the costs involved. In such cases, the SMB shall exercise its discretion in determining the feasibility of changes while taking into account the views expressed in the consultation process (e.g., Public Consultation, position documents from the SEUF and the ESTF).

## **6 APPENDIX 2 - CONFLICTS OF INTEREST**

### **6.1 Rules for Managing Conflicts of Interest**

#### **6.1.1 General Principles**

A member of the SMB may be faced with a situation where the duties owed by him or her under these Internal Rules conflict in some way with another interest, duty or consideration of the member.

A member of the SMB must be alert to such conflicts of interest, or potential conflicts of interest arising in the course of his or her engagement with the SMB.

In order to ensure that the Schemes are administered in accordance with the highest standards of fairness and transparency, a member of the SMB must monitor any conflicts of interest arising or potentially arising in the course of his or her office.

On appointment, each Independent Member of the SMB must supply the NGC with a written list of issues that create or that may create a conflict of interest in the course of his or her office. If a new issue which could create a conflict of interest would arise in the course of a member's appointment to the SMB, that member will, without delay, inform the NGC accordingly.

A member of the SMB shall be expected to declare any actual or potential conflicts of interests at the start of any meeting involving the SMB. A note of such a declaration must be retained in accordance with section 6.1.2 below.

Any member of the SMB may inform an appropriate person like the Chair that he or she feels that a member of the SMB or the SMB as a whole is subject to a conflict of interest, or that a conflict of interest might reasonably be expected to arise. In such cases, the Chair shall act in an appropriate manner to ensure that the conflict of interest is managed effectively and transparently. Where the Chair is subject to a conflict of interest, he or she may nominate another person within the SMB to manage the conflict on his or her behalf. Where all the members of a body are subject to a conflict of interest, the body must request the NGC to take appropriate action.

Where a conflict exists or where one might reasonably be expected to arise, the member must declare the conflict and the Chair, acting together with other members of the SMB shall decide whether a conflict does indeed exist and how such a conflict should be managed. Where a conflict of interest is deemed to exist or where one might reasonably be expected to arise, the Chair, acting together with the other members of the SMB, must determine whether the affected member should refrain from voting on the relevant issue before him or her.

#### **6.1.2 Record Keeping**

The SMB shall keep a record of each case where a conflict of interest has arisen or where one has been likely to arise, together with the action taken by the relevant member or body to manage the conflict.

The SMB shall also record cases where a conflict of interest was suspected but where, after analysis, such a conflict was deemed not to have arisen.

Such records shall be open to inspection by the EPC and to such other persons as the SMB may consider appropriate.

#### **6.1.3 Field of Application**

The provisions of this Appendix 2 on conflicts of interest shall apply *mutatis mutandis* to the members of the CAC and to the members of the Appeals Committee.

## **7 APPENDIX 3 - SCHEME MANAGEMENT COST ALLOCATION**

### **7.1 Scheme participation fee**

The EPC may set an annual Scheme participation fee to recover the costs related to the Scheme Management function performed by the EPC and the Scheme Management governance bodies.

These fees may be levied at the individual Scheme Participant level, or at group level, for those Scheme Participants which are part of a 'group' as defined in the Regulation (EU) No 648/2012 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 4 July 2012 on OTC derivatives, central counterparties and trade repositories (the EMIR Regulation) as amended from time to time, or for those Scheme Participants located in a country outside the European Economic Area and within the geographical scope of the Schemes, which are part of a group meeting substantially equivalent requirements as referred to in the definition of a 'group' in the EMIR Regulation.

The applicable fees will be fixed in a fair, reasonable and non-discriminatory way by the EPC Board upon a proposal submitted by the SMB based on the fee setting mechanism approved by the EPC Board upon recommendation by the SMB, and will be made public on the EPC Website.

By derogation of the above fee setting process, the first annual participation fee will be set in accordance with principles and parameters agreed by the EPC Plenary in December 2014 on the basis of a recommendation by the EPC's Coordination Committee and will be made public on the EPC Website.

### **7.2 Main cost types in a dispute resolution procedure**

Three types of costs are identified:

- Administrative costs, incurred by the EPC for administering and monitoring the relevant proceedings (including all disbursements in connection with a particular case, for example, postage, international courier services, telephone, faxes, copies, etc.);
- Legal fees and expenses, incurred by the EPC including costs for travel, lodging and clerical assistance; and
- Litigation or dispute resolution costs incurred by the parties in question, including fees and expenses of any lawyers engaged, as well as amounts incurred on the presentation and preparation of the case.

### **7.3 Rationale for dispute resolution cost recovery mechanism**

The rationale for the dispute resolution cost recovery mechanism centres on a non-refundable administrative fee. This centres on the position that the individual Scheme Participants benefiting from the Scheme Management conciliation, complaint and appeal activities should be responsible for the costs arising from them (in whole or in part). In addition, given the EPC's core activity is to develop and design payment schemes and frameworks to realise SEPA, it would be unfair for the EPC membership to subsidise the Scheme Management conciliation, complaint and appeal proceedings.

Moreover, there are some initial administrative and handling costs involved in the various stages of the conciliation, complaint and appeal activity. These should be recoverable from the Scheme Participants either requesting or affected by the conciliation, complaint and appeal proceedings.

It is therefore appropriate for the filing Scheme Participant to pay to the EPC a flat fee to cover these costs as an 'upfront fee' for such activities. Such a fee is recoverable from the other Scheme Participant involved in the action if the Scheme Participant initiating the procedure is successful at the end of the proceedings.

In addition, any relevant non-administrative EPC costs incurred during the course of the proceedings shall be recovered from the losing party.

#### **7.4 Level of the non-refundable administrative fee for dispute resolution**

As a non-profit organisation, the EPC ensures that there is no material ‘profit’ mark-up resulting in a material gain for the EPC when setting the non-refundable administrative fee.

The upfront fee payable to the EPC per single conciliation, complaint and appeal case by the concerned Scheme Participant initiating the proceeding is estimated to be as at [8 October 2014]:

- Conciliation: 2.000 EUR
- Complaint: 2.000 EUR
- Appeal: 3.000 EUR

The level of these fees will be regularly reviewed by the SMB and the EPC Board.

## 8 TERMS DEFINED IN THE INTERNAL RULES

Definitions taken from other documents are acknowledged. Terms defined elsewhere in this document are not repeated here, but only referenced.

Term	Definition
<b>Additional Optional Services</b>	Complementary features and services based on the Schemes, as described in more detail in the Rulebooks.
<b>Adherence Agreement</b>	The agreement to be completed as part of the process by which an entity applies to become a Scheme Participant. The agreement is found at Annex 1 of the Rulebooks.
<b>Admission Date</b>	A date specified for admission to one or both of the Schemes for a group of successful applicants.
<b>Affected Participant</b>	A Scheme Participant that is subject to proceedings before the CAC in accordance with section 3.4 of these Internal Rules.
<b>Business Identifier Code (BIC)</b>	An 8 or 11 character ISO code assigned by SWIFT and used to identify a financial institution in financial transactions (ISO 9362).
<b>BIC</b>	<i>See 'Business Identifier Code'.</i>
<b>Business Day</b>	A day on which PSPs in the relevant jurisdiction are generally open for business with customers.
<b>Calendar Day</b>	A Calendar Day means any day of the year
<b>Chair</b>	Chair refers to the Chair of the SMB
<b>Change Proposal</b>	A Change Proposal is formulated by the SEMWG on the basis of the Initiator's Change Request. A Change Proposal should take into account any impact analysis that may be submitted together with the Change Request, and any other details in relation to the change proposed. Where the change proposed in the Change Request modifies the Rulebooks or related documentation, a Change Proposal shall include a mark-up of the Rulebooks and related documentation to show the amendments required to be made to the Rulebooks and related documentation as a result of the change proposed.
<b>Change Proposal Submission Document</b>	Is a consolidation of the Change Requests, the related non-confidential comments received from the contributors during the Public Consultation and the related Change Proposals. The document is prepared by the <b>SEMWG</b> and certifies that each stage of the change management process has been properly completed.

<b>Term</b>	<b>Definition</b>
<b>Change Request</b>	A Change Request means any concrete and comprehensible proposal for making a change to the Schemes which is to be presented along with a substantiated reasoning. A Change Request may be devised by any individual or organisation that is able to claim a legitimate interest in this change management process (the “Initiator”) and then submitted to the Secretariat in accordance with the procedures set out in these Internal Rules.
<b>Commencement Date</b>	The date on which the EPC resolves to commence operation of the Scheme in accordance with section 5.1 of the Rulebooks.
<b>CSMs</b>	Clearing and Settlement Mechanisms
<b>Customer Banking Business Day</b>	A Customer Banking Business Day is a day on which PSPs in the relevant jurisdiction are generally open for business with customers.
<b>EBA</b>	European Banking Association
<b>ECSA</b>	European Credit Sector Association
<b>EPC</b>	The European Payments Council
<b>EPC Charter</b>	The Charter of the European Payments Council dated 18 June 2004, as amended from time to time.
<b>ESTF</b>	EPC Scheme Technical Forum
<b>EU</b>	The European Union
<b>Event of Default</b>	Each event indicating that a Scheme Participant is no longer able to pay its debts as they fall due, becomes or became insolvent or has ceased to exist (each an Event of Default), including but not limited to the failure of a Scheme Participant to pay the fees mentioned in section 1.4 of these Internal Rules.
<b>IA</b>	Impact Analysis
<b>Independent Member</b>	An Independent Member is a member who can display the highest standard of professional integrity and objectivity in relation to Scheme Management. An Independent Member should be a professional of good repute, with appropriate skills, who has a reasonable knowledge of the payments services sector but who is not employed or is otherwise affiliated with a Scheme Participant or its PSP communities, service providers or a payment services user group or user association.
<b>Initiator</b>	Any individual or organisation submitting a Change Request
<b>Internal Rules</b>	These are the internal rules for Scheme Management set out in this document, as amended from time to time.
<b>List of Minor Changes</b>	As defined in section 4.3 of these Internal Rules
<b>LSG</b>	EPC Legal Support Group

<b>Term</b>	<b>Definition</b>
<b>Major Change</b>	As defined in section 4.1.6 of these Internal Rules
<b>Minor Change</b>	As defined in section 4.1.6 of these Internal Rules
<b>NASO</b>	National Adherence Support Organisation, as explained in section 3.2.3 of these Internal Rules.
<b>National Community</b>	The Scheme Participants from one and the same country.
<b>NGC</b>	Nominating and Governance Committee
<b>Payment Services Directive</b>	The EU Directive on payment services in the internal market, and any revision thereof.
<b>PSP</b>	Payment Service Provider
<b>Scheme</b>	Each of the SEPA Direct Debit Scheme and the SEPA Credit Transfer Scheme
<b>Scheme Participant</b>	Is an entity that has adhered to one or more EPC SEPA Schemes in any capacity.
<b>Scheme Participants Assembly</b>	The Scheme Participants Assembly is composed of all EPC Scheme Participants (who can be represented), gathering via electronic means.
<b>Secretariat</b>	The EPC Secretariat
<b>SEMWG</b>	Scheme Evolution and Maintenance Working Group
<b>SEPA</b>	SEPA is the area where citizens, companies and other economic actors are able to make and receive payments in euro within Europe. SEPA comprises the countries listed in the official EPC list of SEPA countries as published by the EPC from time to time.
<b>SEPA Credit Transfer Scheme</b>	The SEPA Credit Transfer Scheme is the payments scheme for making credit transfers across SEPA, as set out in the SEPA Credit Transfer Scheme Rulebook.
<b>SEPA Credit Transfer Scheme Rulebook</b>	The Rulebook setting out rules and business standards for the SEPA Credit Transfer Scheme, as amended from time to time.
<b>SEPA Core Direct Debit Scheme Rulebook</b>	The Rulebook setting out rules and business standards for the SEPA Core Direct Debit Scheme, as amended from time to time.
<b>SEPA Business to Business Direct Debit Scheme Rulebook</b>	The Rulebook setting out rules and business standards for the SEPA Business to Business Direct Debit Scheme, as amended from time to time.

<b>Term</b>	<b>Definition</b>
<b>SEPA Regulation</b>	Regulation (EU) No 260/2012 establishing technical and business requirements for credit transfers and direct debits in euro and amending Regulation (EC) No 924/2009
<b>SEPA Scheme</b>	A SEPA payment scheme is a common set of business rules, practices and standards for the provision and operation of a SEPA payment instrument agreed at an inter-PSP level in a competitive environment.
<b>SEPA Scheme Management</b>	SEPA Scheme Management denotes the governance, development and compliance mechanisms in relation to a SEPA Scheme.
<b>SMB</b>	Scheme Management Board
<b>SEUF</b>	Scheme End-User Forum
<b>Suggestion</b>	A Suggestion is an idea for change to the Schemes, proposed to the SEMWG.
<b>Unresolved Issue</b>	Any dispute in relation to one or both of the Rulebooks.