

EBA/CP/2014/06	
07 May 2014	

Consultation Paper

Draft regulatory technical standards

On the treatment of equity exposures under the IRB Approach under Article 495(3) of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 (Capital Requirements Regulation – CRR)



Contents

1.	Responding to this Consultation	3
2.	Executive Summary	4
3.	Background and rationale	5
Ар	Draft regulatory technical standards on the treatment of equity exposures under the IRB proach under Article 495(3) of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 (Capital Requirements gulation – CRR)	7
5.	Accompanying documents	10
5.1	Draft Cost- Benefit Analysis / Impact Assessment	10
5.2	2 Overview of questions for Consultation	13



1. Responding to this Consultation

The EBA invites comments on all proposals put forward in this paper and in particular on the specific questions summarised in 5.2.

Comments are most helpful if they:

- respond to the question stated;
- indicate the specific point to which a comment relates;
- contain a clear rationale;
- provide evidence to support the views expressed/ rationale proposed; and
- describe any alternative regulatory choices the EBA should consider.

Submission of responses

To submit your comments, click on the 'send your comments' button on the consultation page by 07.07.2014. Please note that comments submitted after this deadline, or submitted via other means may not be processed.

Publication of responses

Please clearly indicate in the consultation form whether you wish your comments to be disclosed or to be treated as confidential. A confidential response may be requested from us in accordance with the EBA's rules on public access to documents. We may consult you if we receive such a request. Any decision we make not to disclose the response is reviewable by the EBA's Board of Appeal and the European Ombudsman.

Data protection

The protection of individuals with regard to the processing of personal data by the EBA is based on Regulation (EC) No 45/2001 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 18 December 2000 as implemented by the EBA in its implementing rules adopted by its Management Board. Further information on data protection can be found in the Legal notice section of the EBA website.



2. Executive Summary

Article 495(3) of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 (Capital Requirements Regulation – CRR) allows competent authorities temporarily to exempt from internal ratings-based (IRB) treatment certain equity exposures held by institutions as at 31 December 2007. This provision was already included in Article 154(6) of Directive 2006/48/EC (Capital Requirements Directive – CRD I) to allow institutions to avoid part of the increase in the capital requirement of the equity exposure class under the IRB approach. The provision is temporary, ending in 31 December 2017.

The only difference between the two regimes is the degree of discretion granted to the competent authorities. Whereas under CRD I competent authorities were free to grant the exemption and also decide what particular equity exposures would be exempted, the CRR imposes specific conditions on competent authorities that must be met for the exemption to be granted. These RTS are to lay down these conditions.

This Consultation Paper proposes only one condition for the purpose of granting the exemption of IRB treatment for equity exposures. In essence, the proposed text allows competent authorities to grant the exemption if it was being applied on the last day of application of CRD I. This straightforward approach is justified as follows:

- the impact of any proposed change on the capital requirement of equity exposures held as at
 December 2007 would be immaterial in most institutions;
- it provides continuity with the former legislative framework and there is no interference with the capital planning made by institutions under the former regulatory regime;
- there is limited potential for harmonisation since the CRR empowers the competent authorities to grant the exemption under their own discretion.

The EBA will assess the responses received and consider whether or not any changes should be made to the draft RTS after the consultation closes on XX April 2014.

The EBA must submit the draft RTS to the Commission by 30 June 2014.



3. Background and rationale

Article 495(3) of the CRR allows competent authorities temporarily to exempt from IRB treatment certain equity exposures held by institutions as at 31 December 2007. These equity exposures should be treated using the standardised approach (SA). This provision is independent of the permanent exemption of the equity exposure class that is allowed under Article 150(2) of the CRR.

Article 495

Treatment of equity exposures under the IRB Approach

1. By way of derogation from Chapter 3 of Part Three, until 31 December 2017, the competent authorities may exempt from the IRB treatment certain categories of equity exposures held by institutions and EU subsidiaries of institutions in that Member State as at 31 December 2007. The competent authority shall publish the categories of equity exposures which benefit from that treatment in accordance with Article 143 of Directive 2013/36/EU.

The exempted position shall be measured as the number of shares as at 31 December 2007 and any additional share arising directly as a result of owning those holdings, provided they do not increase the proportional share of ownership in a portfolio company.

If an acquisition increases the proportional share of ownership in a specific holding the part of the holding which constitutes the excess shall not be subject to the exemption. Nor shall the exemption apply to holdings that were originally subject to the exemption, but have been sold and then bought back.

Equity exposures subject to this provision shall be subject to the capital requirements calculated in accordance with the Standardised Approach under Part Three, Title II, Chapter 2 and the requirements set out in Title IV of Part Three, as applicable.

Competent authorities shall notify the Commission and EBA of the implementation of this paragraph.

•••

3. EBA shall develop draft regulatory technical standards to specify the conditions according to which competent authorities shall afford the exemption referred to in paragraph 1.

EBA shall submit those draft regulatory technical standards to the Commission by 30 June 2014.

Power is delegated to the Commission to adopt the regulatory technical standards referred to in the first subparagraph in accordance with Articles 10 to 14 of Regulation (EU) No 1093/2010.

This exemption is not new in the solvency framework; Article 154(6) of the CRD I already provided for this possibility. It was particularly relevant for some institutions with significant equity holdings, given the higher capital requirement of the equity exposure class under the IRB approach compared to the SA. The same deadline was envisaged as under the CRR (31 December 2017).



Article 154

•••

6. Until 31 December 2017, the competent authorities of the Member States may exempt from the IRB treatment certain equity exposures held by credit institutions and EU subsidiaries of credit institutions in that Member State at 31 December 2007.

The exempted position shall be measured as the number of shares as of 31 December 2007 and any additional share arising directly as a result of owning those holdings, as long as they do not increase the proportional share of ownership in a portfolio company.

If an acquisition increases the proportional share of ownership in a specific holding the exceeding Part of the holding shall not be subject to the exemption. Nor shall the exemption apply to holdings that were originally subject to the exemption, but have been sold and then bought back.

Equity exposures covered by this transitional provision shall be subject to the capital requirements calculated in accordance with Title V, Chapter 2, Section 3, Subsection 1.

The only difference between the two regimes is the degree of discretion granted to the competent authorities. Whereas under CRD I competent authorities were free to grant the exemption and also decide what particular equity exposures would be exempted, the CRR imposes specific conditions on competent authorities that must be met for the exemption to be granted. These conditions are to be established by these RTS to improve the harmonisation of the rules applied to financial institutions across the EU.

Only one condition has been considered relevant for the purpose of granting the exemption of IRB treatment for equity exposures. In essence, the proposed legal text allows competent authorities to grant the exemption if it was being applied on the last day of application of CRD I. This straightforward approach is justified as follows:

- The impact assessment that has been carried out reveals that the impact of any proposed change on the capital requirement of equity exposures held as at December 2007 would be immaterial in most institutions.
- Given that the same provision already existed in the CRD framework, these RTS should allow continuity with the former application of this exemption in Member States. This is reinforced by the fact that no changes are expected regarding the decisions taken by competent authorities under the former regime. Furthermore, any proposed conditions should not interfere with the capital planning made by institutions under the former regulatory regime as far as possible.
- Harmonisation of the rules would be limited to the Member States that decided to apply the exemption and only for a limited period of time (until end 2017).



4. Draft regulatory technical standards on the treatment of equity exposures under the IRB Approach under Article 495(3) of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 (Capital Requirements Regulation – CRR)

In between the text of the draft RTS/ITS/Guidelines/advice that follows, further explanations on specific aspects of the proposed text are occasionally provided, which either offer examples or provide the rationale behind a provision, or set out specific questions for the consultation process. Where this is the case, this explanatory text appears in a framed text box.

Contents



COMMISSION DELEGATED REGULATION (EU) No .../..

of XXX

supplementing Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council with regard to the transitional treatment of equity exposures under the IRB Approach

(Text with EEA relevance)

THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION,

Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union,

Having regard to Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26 June 2013 on prudential requirements for credit institutions and investment firms and amending Regulation (EU) No 648/2012¹, and in particular Article 495 (3) thereof.

Whereas:

- (1) There is a need to specify the conditions under which the competent authorities shall be able to exempt from the IRB treatment certain categories of equity exposures held by institutions and EU subsidiaries of institutions in that Member State as at 31 December 2007.
- (2) The specification of these conditions should be achieved in a co-ordinated manner that should not disproportionally jeopardize the smooth transition of the national legal orders from the regime established by the transposition of the Directive 2006/48/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 14 June 2006 relating to the taking up and pursuit of the business of credit institutions² and especially of its Article 154 (6) to the new regime established by Regulation (EU) No 575/2013.
- (3) Furthermore, the specification of these conditions should also, to the extent possible, take into account the legitimate expectations of the institutions which were, under the former regime, granted the exemption.
- (4) This Regulation is based on the draft regulatory technical standards submitted by the European Banking Authority to the Commission.
- (5) The European Banking Authority has conducted open public consultations on the draft regulatory technical standards on which this Regulation is based, analysed the potential related costs and benefits and requested the opinion of the Banking Stakeholder Group established in accordance with Article 37 of Regulation (EU) No 1093/2010,

¹ OJ L 176, 27.06.2013, p. 1.

² OJ L 177, 30.06.2006, p.1



HAS ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:

Article 1 - Conditions to afford the exemption referred to in Article 495(1) of Regulation (EU) 575/2013

Only those categories of equity exposures that benefited on 31 December 2013 from an exemption, granted in accordance with Article 154 (6) of Directive 2006/48/EC as transposed in the Members States, shall qualify for the exemption from the IRB treatment according to Article 495(1) of Regulation (EU) 575/2013.

Article 2 – Final provision

This Regulation shall enter into force on the twentieth day following that of its publication in the *Official Journal of the European Union*.

This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States.

Done at Brussels,

For the Commission The President

[For the Commission On behalf of the President]

[Position]



5. Accompanying documents

5.1 Draft Cost- Benefit Analysis / Impact Assessment

Problem definition

Article 495(3) of the CRR allows competent authorities temporarily to exempt from IRB treatment certain equity exposures held by institutions as at 31 December 2007. These equity exposures should be treated according to the SA. This provision is independent of the permanent exemption of the equity exposure class that is allowed under Article 150(2) of the CRR.

This exemption is not new in the solvency framework; Article 154(6) of the CRD I already provided for this possibility. It was particularly relevant for some institutions with significant equity holdings, given the higher capital requirement of the equity exposure class under the IRB approach compared to the SA. The same deadline was envisaged as under the CRR (31 December 2017).

The only difference between the two regimes is the degree of discretion granted to the competent authorities. Whereas under CRD I competent authorities were free to grant the exemption and also decide what particular equity exposures would be exempted, the CRR imposes specific conditions on competent authorities that must be met for the exemption to be granted. These conditions are to be established by these RTS to improve the harmonisation of the rules applied to institutions across the EU.

Technical options considered

The final proposal contained in the RTS is the maintenance of the *status quo*, i.e. competent authorities may grant the exemption if it was being applied on the first day of application of these RTS on the basis of CRD I. The main reasons behind this decision are:

- The impact assessment carried out reveals that the impact of any proposed change on the capital requirement of equity exposures held as at December 2007 would be immaterial in most institutions.
- Given that the same provision already existed in the CRD I framework, these RTS should allow continuity in the current application of this exemption in Member States. This is reinforced by the fact that no changes are expected regarding the decisions taken by competent authorities under the former regime. Furthermore, any proposed conditions should not interfere with the capital planning made by institutions under the former regulatory regime as far as possible.
- Harmonisation of the rules would be limited to the Member States that decided to apply the exemption and only for a limited period of time (until end 2017).

The option of allowing competent authorities either to narrow or broaden the previous scope of application of the exemption to categories of equity exposures was also considered. However,



given that no changes are expected in the coming years regarding the decisions taken by competent authorities under the former regime (i.e. CRD I), this option was discarded.

Impact of the proposals

As explained above, one of the main reasons for proposing the *status quo* for the IRB treatment of equity exposures held by institutions as at December 2007 is the limited impact of any proposed modification. This observation derives from the results of a survey conducted across Member States to gather recent information about the significance of the capital requirement of equity exposures in individual financial institutions in the Union. The main results of the exercise are shown in Figure 1 and can be summarised as follows:

- Data has only been collected from the 17 countries that have applied this provision under CRD I.
- With the exception of two countries that have imposed specific restrictions (immaterial portfolios and leverage buyout investments), the exemption has generally been applied to all equity exposures held as at 31 December 2007.
- The share of total equity exposure treated under the SA on the basis of the IRB exemption under Article 154(6) of the CRD I with respect to total credit risk exposure is generally low. For most banks, the ratios remain below the 4% threshold. In terms of risk-weighted exposures, the ratios are slightly higher than their exposure-based equivalents and most banks have their share of equity risk-weighted exposure under the SA below 10%.
- This information is only available for a limited number of banks. Therefore, the total equity exposure treated under the SA has also been analysed as a cap on the potential impact of the IRB exemption. Since there are several provisions that allow the SA treatment of equity exposures, the share of total equity exposure treated under the SA compared to total credit risk exposure can be interpreted as a maximum to the equity exposures potentially subject to the IRB exemption (Article 154(6) of the CRD I).
- The ratio of total equity exposure treated under the SA remains at even lower levels than the equity exposure subject to the IRB exemption. In this case, the exposure-based and risk-weighted exposure-based ratios for most banks are below the 3% and 8% thresholds respectively. Since this information is available for more banks, it can be concluded that their share of equity exposure under the IRB exemption would have been very low.

The impact of a modification of the IRB treatment of equity exposures held by institutions as at December 2007 would therefore be very limited.



Figure 1.: Equity exposures of IRB banks treated under the SA

Data	Total SA equity exposure / Total credit exposure	Total SA risk- weighted equity exposure / Total risk-weighted credit exposure	Total SA equity under IRB exemption / Total credit exposure	Total SA risk- weighted equity exposure under IRB exemption / Total risk-weighted credit exposure
Median	0.60%	1.33%	0.93%	3.48%
Percentile 75	1.19%	4.41%	2.12%	7.79%
Percentile 90	2.55%	8.71%	3.27%	10.39%
Percentile 95	6.55%	15.47%	5.02%	13.49%
Maximum	13.73%	24.24%	8.12%	18.15%
N. banks	32	36	16	16

Costs

Given that the proposal contained in these RTS is a continuation of the former regulatory regime (i.e. CRD I), there should not be any specific cost either in terms of compliance with the RTS or in terms of increased capital. Regarding the latter, these RTS imply that the capital requirement remains at a lower level than would otherwise be the case under the IRB approach.

Benefits

For the reasons set out above, it is considered most beneficial to adopt a simple and straightforward approach where the former regulatory regime (i.e. CRD) is maintained.



5.2 Overview of questions for Consultation

Q1: Do you agree with the proposed RTS regarding the exemption of IRB treatment for certain equity exposures? If not, what conditions should be proposed?