

Question ID2025_7602

Legal actDirective 2015/2366/EU (PSD2)

TopicStrong customer authentication and common and secure communication (incl. access)

ArticleArticle: 98

ParagraphParagraph: 1

SubparagraphLetter: d)

COM Delegated or Implementing Acts/RTS/ITS/GLs/RecommendationsRegulation (EU) 2018/389 - RTS on strong customer authentication and secure communication

Article/ParagraphArticle: 32; Paragraph: 3

Name of institution / submitterZNPay a.s.

Country of incorporation / residenceCzech Republic

Type of submitterOther

Subject matterObstacle assessment of a mandatory client segment selection screen in a redirection journey

Question

Does a mandatory step in a redirection journey, where a Payment Service User (PSU) must manually select their client segment (e.g., retail or corporate) on an intermediary screen (web interface) before being redirected to the ASPSP's authentication app, constitute an obstacle under Article 32(3) of the RTS, if such a step is not present when the PSU accesses their account directly via the ASPSP's native mobile application?

Background on the question

Article 32(3) of the Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2018/389 (RTS) requires Account Servicing Payment Service Providers (ASPSPs) to ensure their dedicated interface does not create obstacles. The EBA Opinion on obstacles (EBA/OP/2020/10), specifically paragraph 15, clarifies that the authentication journey in a redirection approach should not "*create unnecessary friction or add unnecessary steps in the customer journey compared to the equivalent authentication procedure offered to PSUs when directly accessing their payment accounts*".

In practice, some ASPSPs implement a redirection journey where the Payment Service User (PSU) is presented with an intermediary screen. On this screen, the PSU must manually select their client segment (e.g., "*Retail Banking*" or "*Corporate Banking*"). ASPSPs justify this step by claiming they cannot decide for the client which profile to use. This selection step is not present when the PSU logs directly into their native mobile banking application.

It is unclear whether this mandatory selection constitutes an "unnecessary step" and therefore an obstacle under the current RTS. This ambiguity is particularly acute in subsequent journeys, such as a Payment Initiation Service (PIS) from an already-linked account, where the context of the client's segment is already established, or in situation where the client only has one segment (eg. retail), making the selection screen entirely redundant and illogical.

Submission date

17/10/2025

Final publishing date

12/06/2026

Final answer

Article 32(3) of Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2018/389 requires account servicing payment service providers (ASPSPs) that have implemented a dedicated interface to ensure that the interface does not create obstacles to the provision of payment initiation and account information services.

As clarified in paragraphs 6, 7 and 15 of the [EBA Opinion on obstacles under Article 32\(3\) of the RTS on SCA and CSC \(EBA/OP/2020/10\)](#), in a redirection or decoupled approach the interaction between the payment service user (PSU) and the ASPSP should be minimised to what is necessary in order for the PSU to authenticate. The authentication procedure with the ASPSP as part of an AIS or PIS journey should not include unnecessary steps or require the PSU to provide unnecessary or

superfluous information compared to the way in which the PSU can authenticate when directly accessing their payment accounts or initiating a payment with the ASPSP.

In circumstances where, when PSUs access their accounts directly via the ASPSP's customer interface, authentication is performed without requiring the PSU to manually select a client segment, such a step introduced in a redirection journey does not appear necessary for the purpose of authentication. As such a step does not appear necessary and results in additional friction in the AIS or PIS journey compared to the way in which the PSU can authenticate when directly accessing their payment accounts or initiating a payment with the ASPSP, this constitutes an obstacle within the meaning of Article 32(3) of the RTS.

Status

Final Q&A

Answer prepared by

Answer prepared by the EBA.
