

EUROPEAN DATA PROTECTION SUPERVISOR

The EU's independent data protection authority

ANNEX I

EDPS survey on Covid-19 related processing activities by EU institutions, bodies, offices and agencies

WHY THIS SURVEY?

A number of European institutions, agencies and bodies (EUIs) have implemented new processing activities to help prevent the spread of Covid-19 infection, in the context of their return to work strategy. Moreover, the Covid-19 outbreak forced many EUIs to switch their operation almost exclusively to telework for most of their staff. The need for teleworking tools to maintain activities has grown dramatically in an extremely short timeframe, e.g. for conference calls, remote collaboration, audio- or videoconferencing or webinars. Finally, some EUIs have started carrying out new processing activities as part of their core business missions in public health.

The survey will focus on three areas:

- new processing operations implemented by EUIs as part of their return to work strategy (part 1);
- IT tools or solutions implemented or enhanced by EUIs to ensure business continuity in times of telework (part 2);
- new processing operations implemented by EUIs in charge of public health related tasks (part 3).

At the end of the survey, EUIs are given the opportunity to bring other matters to the EDPS' attention in the context of Covid-19 related data processing operations (Part 4).

With this survey, the EDPS aims to map the processing activities and tools used by EUIs to ensure business continuity in times of Covid-19 and to gather information as to how EUIs comply with the data protection requirements under Article 8 of the Charter of Fundamental Rights and Regulation 2018/1725¹ (the Regulation).

The EDPS intends to use the results of the survey to identify new topics that would deserve specific orientations, in addition to the orientations on the <u>Reactions of EUIs as employers to the Covid-19 crisis</u> (15 July 2010) on <u>Body Temperature Checks</u> (1 September 2020) and the forthcoming orientations on contact tracing. The EDPS may also rely on the survey to conduct targeted audits and investigations.

Our overall objective is to ensure that these new processing operations are compliant and respect people's right to privacy and data protection.

ጥ ጥ

*

Regulation (EU) 2018/1725 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 October 2018 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data by the Union institutions, bodies, offices and agencies and on the free movement of such data, and repealing Regulation (EC) No 45/2001 and Decision No 1247/2002/EC, OJ L 295, 21.11.2018, pp. 39–98.

INFORMATION ON THE PROCESSING OF PERSONAL DATA OF THE SURVEY S RESPONDERS
[]
Instructions on how to fill the survey
Please provide relevant information as needed. In case it is not applicable write N/A and if the information is not available please write not available and provide a reason why.
Section 1 and 2 should be completed by all EUIs. Section 3 should only be filled by EUIs dedicated to public health issues. Section 4 is optional.
We recommend that the DPO review the survey and send it to the EDPS upon validation.
EDPS Contact persons:
[]
QUESTIONNAIRE
Fields marked as * are mandatory
* Please indicate the responding EUI :
Name and function of person responding to EDPS questionnaire (e.g. Jane DOE, Data Protection Officer, John DOE, Communications officer):
* First name
* Last name
*Function

1.	New processing operations implemented by	y EUI:	s to	fight
	Covid-19			

Yes	No					
voi	ır answer is no, go	to Section 2.1.				
		rocessing operations?				
	2. Name of processing			Yes	4.	No
1.	Body temperature check to filter access to the EUI premises					
2. <i>Contact tracing</i> (requests to staff to report any contact with infected persons or positivity, as well as colleagues with whom infected staff member was in contact with during incubation period, etc.)						
3.	. Covid-19 diagr	ostic tests and handling of results				
4.	. Monitoring staff presence in the EUI premises (check occupancy rate, contact tracing)					
5.						
			our	· EUI, p	oleas	e provi
ollo	wing information	essing operations implemented by y n. ture checks to filter access to the EU		Î		F
ollo	wing information	1.		Î		
ollo	wing information Body tempera	ture checks to filter access to the EU		Î		F
ollo	wing information Body tempera	ture checks to filter access to the EU Purpose(s)		Î		

Indicate a precise legal basis under Art. 5 or 10 of the Regulation:
If you rely on Art. 5(1)(b) or 10(2)(b) or (i), please indicate the relevant EU law:
Provide a link to the publicly available record of the processing ² , if any
Link to the publicly available record:
No publicly available record □
No record □
Categories of individuals targeted
Staff □
Visitors □
External contractors
Other:
Type of temperature check
Basic temperature checks 3 \square
Other systems ⁴ (specify):
Special categories of data
Data concerning health $\ \square$
Other (specify):
Automated or manual

² See Article 31 of Regulation 2018/1725.

³ Basic temperature checks are designed to measure body temperature only, operated manually and not followed by registration, documentation, or other processing of an individual's personal data. See <u>EDPS Orientations on body temperature checks</u>

Others systems of temperature checks, operated manually or followed by registration, documentation or other processing of an individual's personal data, or systems operated automatically with advanced temperature measurement devices. See <u>EDPS</u> <u>Orientations on body temperature checks</u>

	Automated □
	Manual □
	Mandatory or optional for individuals concerned
	Mandatory □
	Optional □
	Recipient(s) (security, HR, medical service, etc.)
DPIA	Did your EUI conduct a DPIA ⁵ ?
	Yes □ No □
	List the criteria triggering Article 39 of the Regulation, outcome of the DPIA
	- Criteria:
	- Outcome, i.e. need for prior consultation
	Yes □ No □
	Was the DPIA initiated following a threshold assessment (assessment of the risks to determine whether a DPIA is needed) ⁶ ?
	Yes □ No □
	If no, what was the trigger for the DPIA (management
	decision,)? Please explain.
Information of data Subjects	Did your EUI inform data subjects about the new processing operation?

Article 39 of the Regulation. See <u>EDPS Accountability on the ground Part II: DPIAs and Prior Consultations.</u>
 See <u>EDPS Accountability on the ground Part 1: Records, Registers and when to do DPIAs (in particular p. 11).</u>

	Yes □ No □
	Details on how the information was provided (format and means of communication)
	Data protection statement: Yes \square No \square
	If yes, explain how the DP statement was made available to data subjects:
DPO involvement	Was the DPO involved in the design or implementation of the processing?
	Yes □ No □
	If yes, at which stage (design phase, DPIA,), active or passive role (information), oral/written advice?
	Design □ DPIA □
	Active role: yes □ no □
	If yes:
	written advice □
	oral advice, participation in meetings □
External Contractors	Does the processing involve the recourse to external contractors (processors)?
(processors)	Yes □ No □
	Details on the contract
	Name and country of the contractor:
	Role:
	Data protection clauses: Yes □ No □
Duration	Is the processing operation still ongoing?
	Yes □ No □

Did your EUI specify a time limit as to the processing operation or a periodic review of the necessity to go on with the processing?
Yes □ No □
Retention duration or recurrence of the review
- Retention:
- Recurrence of review:

1.3.2. Manual **contact tracing** (requests to staff to report any contact with infected persons or positivity, as well as colleagues with whom infected staff member was in contact with during incubation period, etc.)

Description	Purpose(s)
	Requests to staff to report contacts with infected persons \Box
	Requests to staff to report on positivity, as well as contacts colleagues with whom infected staff member was in contact with during incubation period \Box
	Other:
	Legal basis
	Indicate a precise legal basis under Art. 5 or 10 of the Regulation:
	If you rely on Art. 5(1)(b) or 10(2)(b) or (i), please indicate the relevant EU law:
	Provide a link to the publicly available record of the processing ⁷ , if any
	Link to the publicly available record:
	No publicly available record \square

⁷ See Article 31 of Regulation 2018/1725.

No record □
Categories of individuals targeted
Staff □
Visitors □
External contractors
Other:
Special categories of data
Racial or ethnic origin □
Political opinions
Religious or philosophical beliefs □
Trade union membership \square
Genetic data □
Biometric data for the purpose of uniquely identifying a natural person $\ \square$
Data concerning health □
Data concerning sex life or sexual orientation \Box
Automated or manual
Automated □
Manual □
Mandatory or optional for individuals concerned
Mandatory □
Optional □
Recipient(s) (security, HR, medical service, etc.)

DPIA	Did your EUI conduct a DPIA ⁸ ?
	Yes □ No □
	List the criteria triggering Article 39 of the Regulation, outcome of the DPIA
	- Criteria:
	- Outcome, i.e. need for prior consultation
	Yes □ No □
	Was the DPIA initiated following a threshold assessment (assessment of the risks to determine whether a DPIA is needed) ⁹ ?
	Yes □ No □
	If no, what was the trigger for the DPIA (management
	decision,)? Please explain.
Information of data Subjects	Did your EUI inform data subjects about the new processing operation?
	Yes □ No □
	Details on how the information was provided (format and means of communication)
	Data protection statement: Yes □ No □
	If yes, explain how the DP statement was made available to data subjects:
DPO involvement	Was the DPO involved in the design or implementation of the processing?

Article 39 of the Regulation. See <u>EDPS Accountability on the ground Part II: DPIAs and Prior Consultations.</u>
 See <u>EDPS Accountability on the ground Part 1: Records, Registers and when to do DPIAs (in particular p. 11).</u>

	Yes □ No □
	If yes, at which stage (design phase, DPIA,), active or passive role (information), oral/written advice?
	Design □ DPIA □
	Active role: yes □ no □
	If yes:
	written advice □
	oral advice, participation in meetings \square
External Contractors	Does the processing involve the recourse to external contractors (processors)?
(processors)	Yes □ No □
	Details on the contract (name, role, DP clauses)
	Name and country of the contractor:
	Role:
	Data protection clauses: Yes □ No ⊠
Duration	Is the processing operation still ongoing?
	Yes □ No □
	Did your EUI specify a time limit as to the processing operation or a periodic review of the necessity to go on with the processing?
	Yes □ No □
	Retention duration or recurrence of the review
	- Retention:
	- Recurrence of review:

1.3.3. **Covid-19 diagnostic tests and handling of results** (testing carried out at the suggestion/obligation of the EUI and/or obligation to transmit test results to the EUI)

Description	Purpose(s)
	Health of staff in general □
	Limit access to the EUI premises to staff that can provide negative test \Box
	Other
	Type of testing
	Testing within your EUI □
	Testing by another EUI □
	Testing outside the EUIs (general practitioners, testing centre, hospital,) \Box
	Legal basis
	Indicate a precise legal basis under Art. 5 or 10 of the Regulation:
	If you rely on Art. 5(1)(b) or 10(2)(b) or (i), please indicate the relevant EU law:
	Provide a link to the publicly available record of the processing 10 , if any
	Link to the publicly available record:
	No publicly available record □
	No record □
	Categories of individuals targeted
	Staff □
	Visitors □
	External contractors

¹⁰ See Article 31 of Regulation 2018/1725.

	Other:
	Special categories of data
	Racial or ethnic origin □
	Political opinions
	Religious or philosophical beliefs □
	Trade union membership \square
	Genetic data □
	Biometric data for the purpose of uniquely identifying a natural person $\ \square$
	Data concerning health
	Data concerning sex life or sexual orientation \Box
	Automated or manual
	Automated □
	Manual □
	Mandatory or optional for individuals concerned
	Mandatory □
	Optional □
	Recipient(s) (security, HR, medical service, etc.)
DPIA	Did your EUI conduct a DPIA ¹¹ ?
	Yes □ No □
	List the criteria triggering Article 39 of the Regulation, outcome of the DPIA
	- Criteria:

¹¹ Article 39 of the Regulation. See <u>EDPS Accountability on the ground Part II: DPIAs and Prior Consultations.</u>

- Outcome, i.e. need for prior consultation
Yes □ No □
Was the DPIA initiated following a threshold assessment (assessment of the risks to determine whether a DPIA is needed) ¹² ?
Yes □ No □
If no, what was the trigger for the DPIA (management
decision,)? Please explain.
Did your EUI inform data subjects about the new processing operation?
Yes □ No □
Details on how the information was provided (format and means of communication)
Data protection statement: Yes □ No □
If yes, explain how the DP statement was made available to data subjects:
Was the DPO involved in the design or implementation of the processing?
Yes □ No □
If yes, at which stage (design phase, DPIA,), active or passive role (information), oral/written advice.
Design □ DPIA □
Active role: yes □ no □
, and the second
If yes:

¹² See <u>EDPS Accountability on the ground Part 1: Records, Registers and when to do DPIAs (</u>in particular p. 11).

	oral advice, participation in meetings \square
External Contractors	Does the processing involve the recourse to external contractors (processors)?
(processors)	Yes □ No □
	Details on the contract (name, role, DP clauses)
	Name and country of the contractor:
	Role:
	Data protection clauses: Yes □ No □
Duration	Is the processing operation still ongoing?
Duration	Is the processing operation still ongoing? $ \begin{tabular}{c c} Yes \ \square & No \ \square \end{tabular} $
Duration	
Duration	Yes No Did your EUI specify a time limit as to the processing operation or a periodic review of the necessity to go
Duration	Yes No Did your EUI specify a time limit as to the processing operation or a periodic review of the necessity to go on with the processing?
Duration	Yes □ No □ Did your EUI specify a time limit as to the processing operation or a periodic review of the necessity to go on with the processing? Yes □ No □

1.3.4. **Monitoring presence in the EUI premises**

Description	Purpose(s)
	Check occupancy rate □
	Contact tracing if a staff member is reported positive in the days following presence in the EUI premises \Box
	Legal basis
	Indicate a precise legal basis under Art. 5 or 10 of the Regulation:

If you rely on Art. 5(1)(b) or 10(2)(b) or (i), please indicate the relevant EU law:
Provide a link to the publicly available record of the processing ¹³ , if any
Link to the publicly available record:
No publicly available record □
No record □
Categories of individuals targeted
Staff □
Visitors □
External contractors \square
Other:
Special categories of data
Racial or ethnic origin □
Political opinions
Religious or philosophical beliefs □
Trade union membership \square
Genetic data □
Biometric data for the purpose of uniquely identifying a natural person \Box
Data concerning health □
Data concerning sex life or sexual orientation \square
Automated or manual
Automated □
Manual □

¹³ See Article 31 of Regulation 2018/1725.

	Mandatory or optional for individuals concerned
	Mandatory □
	Optional □
	Recipient(s) (security, HR, medical service, etc.)
DPIA	Did your EUI conduct a DPIA ¹⁴ ?
	Yes □ No □
	List the criteria triggering Article 39 of the Regulation, outcome of the DPIA
	- Criteria:
	- Outcome, i.e. need for prior consultation
	Yes □ No □
	Was the DPIA initiated following a threshold assessment (assessment of the risks to determine whether a DPIA is needed) ¹⁵ ?
	Yes □ No □
	If no, what was the trigger for the DPIA (management
	decision,)? Please explain.
Information of data Subjects	Did your EUI inform data subjects about the new processing operation?
	Yes □ No □
	Details on how the information was provided (format and means of communication)

Article 39 of the Regulation. See <u>EDPS Accountability on the ground Part II: DPIAs and Prior Consultations</u>.
 See <u>EDPS Accountability on the ground Part 1: Records, Registers and when to do DPIAs (in particular p. 11).</u>

	Data protection statement: Yes \square No \square
	If yes, explain how the DP statement was made available to data subjects:
DPO Involvement	Was the DPO involved in the design or implementation of the processing?
	Yes □ No □
	If yes, at which stage (design phase, DPIA,), active or passive role (information), oral/written advice.
	Design □ DPIA □
	Active role: yes □ no □
	If yes:
	written advice □
	oral advice, participation in meetings \square
External Contractors	Does the processing involve the recourse to external contractors (processors)?
(processors)	Yes □ No □
(processors)	Yes □ No □ Details on the contract (name, role, DP clauses)
(processors)	
(processors)	Details on the contract (name, role, DP clauses)
(processors)	Details on the contract (name, role, DP clauses) Name and country of the contractor:
(processors) Duration	Details on the contract (name, role, DP clauses) Name and country of the contractor: Role:
	Details on the contract (name, role, DP clauses) Name and country of the contractor: Role: Data protection clauses: Yes □ No □
	Details on the contract (name, role, DP clauses) Name and country of the contractor: Role: Data protection clauses: Yes □ No □ Is the processing operation still ongoing?
	Details on the contract (name, role, DP clauses) Name and country of the contractor: Role: Data protection clauses: Yes □ No □ Is the processing operation still ongoing? Yes □ No □ Did your EUI specify a time limit as to the processing operation or a periodic review of the necessity to go

- Retention:
- Recurrence of review:

1.3.5. [Name of the processing operation]

Please use a separate table for each additional processing operation.

+ copy/paste the table

IT tools and solutions implemented/enhanced by EUIs for working remotely in times of Covid-19

2.1.	$f *$ Has your EUI started to use ${\color{red} {\bf new}}$ IT tools, including communication tools, to ensure	e
	business continuity while working remotely?	

Yes	No	
Yes	No	

If your answer is no, go to Question 2.3.

2.2. What are these new tools (videoconferencing, instant messaging, remote connection from employees' devices, etc.)?

Please use a separate table for each tool.

2.2.1. **Tool No 1**

Description	Type and name of the tool
	Related processing operation, intended use
	Meetings in general □
	Selection/evaluation procedures □
	Other:
Records	Did your EUI update the corresponding record(s) of the data undergoing processing to include the use of the new tool(s)? Or did your EUI create a new/specific record for the tool?
	Update of existing record(s) □
	New/specific record □
	No record □
DPIA	Did your EUI conduct a DPIA ¹⁶ on the data undergoing processing using the tool?
	Yes □ No □
	List the criteria triggering Article 39 of the Regulation, outcome of the DPIA

¹⁶ Article 39 of the Regulation. See <u>EDPS Accountability on the ground Part II: DPIAs and Prior Consultations.</u>

	- Criteria:
	- Outcome, i.e. need for prior consultation
	Yes □ No □
	Was the DPIA initiated following a threshold assessment (assessment of the risks to determine whether a DPIA is needed) ¹⁷ ?
	Yes □ No □
	If no, what was the trigger for the DPIA (management
	decision,)? Please explain.
Information of data Subjects	Did your EUI inform data subjects about the new tool?
	Yes □ No □
	Details on how the information was provided (format and means of communication)
	Update of existing DP statement(s) \square
	New/specific DP statement □
	No DP statement □
	If yes, explain how the DP statement (or its modification) was made available to data subjects:
DPO Involvement	Was the DPO involved in the design or implementation of the tool?
	Yes □ No □
	If yes, at which stage (design phase, DPIA,), active or passive role (information), oral/written advice?

¹⁷ See <u>EDPS Accountability on the ground Part 1: Records, Registers and when to do DPIAs (in particular p. 11).</u>

	Design □ DPIA □
	Active role: yes □ no □
	If yes:
	written advice □
	oral advice, participation in meetings \square
External Contractors	Was the tool developed internally or did your EUI recourse to external contractors (processors)?
(processors)	Yes □ No □
	Details on the contract (name, role, DP clauses)
	Name and country of the contractor:
	Role:
	Data protection clauses: Yes □ No □
Duration	Is the processing operation still ongoing?
	Yes □ No □
	Did your EUI specify a time limit as to the processing operation or a periodic review of the necessity to go on with the processing
	Yes □ No □
	Retention duration or recurrence of the review
	- Retention:
	- Recurrence of review:

2.2.2. **Tool No 2**

same table

2.3. Did your EUI implement any **modifications to existing tools** in order to enable for a remote, as well as enhanced/more intensive use?

Please use a separate table for each tool.

2.3.1. **Tool No 1**

Description	Type and name of the tool
	Related processing operation, intended use
	Meetings in general □
	Selection/evaluation procedures \square
	Other:
	Nature of the change
	Increased number of users □
	Remote access features □
	Modification of IT security policy □
	Update of existing DP statement(s) \square
	Policy on own bring your own device \square
	Other:
DPO Involvement	Was the DPO involved in the modification of the tool?
	Yes □ No □
	If yes, at which stage (design phase, DPIA,), active or passive role (information), oral/written advice?
	Design □ DPIA □
	Active role: yes □ no □
	If yes:
	written advice □
	oral advice, participation in meetings □

External Contractors	Does your EUI recourse to external contractors (processors) for developing/modifying the tool?
(processors)	Yes □ No □
	Details on the contract (name, role, DP clauses)
	Name and country of the contractor:
	Role:
	Data protection clauses: Yes \square No \square

2.3.2. **Tool No 2**

same table

New processing operations implemented by EUIs in charge of public health related tasks to fight Covid-19, as part of its core business activities

3.1. Did your EUI	implement new processing operations aiming to respond to the Co	vid-19
pandemic, as	part of its core business?	

Yes	No	

Please use a separate table for each processing operation.

3.2. For \underline{each} of the processing operations implemented by your EUI, please provide the following information:

$3.2.1.\,\textbf{Name of the processing operation}$

Description	Purpose(s)
	Legal basis
	Indicate a precise legal basis under Art. 5 or 10 of the Regulation:
	If you rely on Art. 5(1)(b) or 10(2)(b) or (i), please indicate the relevant EU law:
	Provide a link to the publicly available record of the processing ¹⁸ , if any
	Link to the publicly available record:
	No publicly available record \square
	No record □
	Categories of individuals targeted

¹⁸ See Article 31 of Regulation 2018/1725.

	Special categories of data
	Racial or ethnic origin \square
	Political opinions
	Religious or philosophical beliefs □
	Trade union membership \square
	Genetic data □
	Biometric data for the purpose of uniquely identifying a natural person \Box
	Data concerning health □
	Data concerning sex life or sexual orientation \Box
	Automated or manual
	Automated □
	Manual □
	Mandatory or optional for individuals concerned
	Mandatory □
	Optional □
	Recipient(s)
DPIA	Did your EUI conduct a DPIA ¹⁹ ?
	Yes □ No □
	List the criteria triggering Article 39 of the Regulation, outcome of the DPIA
	- Criteria:
	- Outcome, i.e. need for prior consultation

¹⁹ Article 39 of the Regulation. See <u>EDPS Accountability on the ground Part II: DPIAs and Prior Consultations.</u>

	Yes □ No □
	Was the DPIA initiated following a threshold assessment (assessment of the risks to determine whether a DPIA is needed) ²⁰ ?
	Yes □ No □
	If no, what was the trigger for the DPIA (management
	decision,)? Please explain.
Information of data Subjects	Did your EUI inform data subjects about the new processing operation?
	Yes □ No □
	Details on how the information was provided (format and means of communication)
	Data protection statement: Yes □ No □
	If yes, explain how the DP statement was made available to data subjects:
DPO Involvement	Was the DPO involved in the design or implementation of the processing?
	Yes □ No □
	If yes, at which stage (design phase, DPIA,), active or passive role (information), oral/written advice.
	Design □ DPIA □
	Active role: yes □ no □
	If yes:
	written advice

²⁰ See <u>EDPS Accountability on the ground Part 1: Records, Registers and when to do DPIAs (in particular p. 11).</u>

	oral advice, participation in meetings \square
External Contractors	Does the processing involve the recourse to external contractors (processors)?
(processors)	Yes □ No □
	Details on the contract (name, role, DP clauses)
	Name and country of the contractor:
	Role:
	Data protection clauses: Yes □ No □
Duration	Is the processing operation still ongoing?
Duration	Is the processing operation still ongoing? Yes \square No \square
Duration	
Duration	Yes No Did your EUI specify a time limit as to the processing operation or a periodic review of the necessity to go
Duration	Yes No Did your EUI specify a time limit as to the processing operation or a periodic review of the necessity to go on with the processing?
Duration	Yes □ No □ Did your EUI specify a time limit as to the processing operation or a periodic review of the necessity to go on with the processing? Yes □ No □

$3.2.2. \ \textbf{Name of the processing operation}$

same table

$3.2.3. \ \textbf{Name of the processing operation}$

same table

4.

Any Other Business?

in the context of Covid-19 related processing that are not covered by this survey?